A Proofs

Proof of Proposition 3.3. It is a direct result of Theorem A.1.

\begin{theorem}
Assume
\[ dZ_t = \eta(Z_t, t)dt + \sigma(Z_t, t)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \]
We have \( Z_t \in A \) with probability one if there exists a function \( U : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) such that
\begin{enumerate}
\item \( U(\cdot, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( U(z, \cdot) \in C^1([0, 1]); \)
\item \( U(z, 1) \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^d; U(z, 1) = 0 \) implies that \( z \in A, \) where \( A \) is a measurable set in \( \mathbb{R}^d; \)
\item There exists a sequence \( \{\alpha_t, \beta_t, \gamma_t ; t \in [0, 1]\} \) such that for \( t \in [0, 1], \)
\[ \mathbb{E}[\nabla Z_t U(Z_t, t)^T \eta(Z_t, t)] \leq -\alpha_t \mathbb{E}[U(Z_t, t)] + \beta_t, \]
\[ \mathbb{E}[\partial_t U(Z_t, t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\nabla^2 Z_t U(Z_t, t)\sigma^2(Z_t, t))] \leq \gamma_t; \]
\item Define \( \zeta_t = \exp(\int_0^t \alpha_s ds). \) We assume
\[ \lim_{t \uparrow T} \zeta_t = +\infty, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow T} \int_0^t \zeta_t (\beta_s + \gamma_s) ds = +\infty. \]
\end{enumerate}
\end{theorem}

\begin{proof}
Following \( dZ_t = \eta(Z_t, t)dt + \sigma(Z_t, t)dW_t, \) we have by Ito’s Lemma,
\[ dU(Z_t, t) = \nabla U(Z_t, t)^T (\eta(Z_t, t)dt + \sigma(Z_t, t)dW_t) + \partial_t U(Z_t, t)dt + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\nabla^2 U(Z_t, t)\sigma^2(Z_t, t))dt, \]
for \( t \in [0, T]. \) Taking expectation on both sides,
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(U(Z_t)) = \mathbb{E}[\nabla Z_t U(Z_t, t)^T \eta(Z_t, t)] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \partial_t U(Z_t, t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\nabla^2 U(Z_t, t)\sigma^2(Z_t, t)) \right]. \]

Let \( u_t = \mathbb{E}[U(Z_t, t)]. \) By the assumption above, we get
\[ \dot{u}_t \leq -\alpha_t u_t + \beta_t + \gamma_t. \]
Following Grönwall’s inequality (see Lemma A.2 below), we have \( \mathbb{E}[U(Z_t, 1)] = u_1 = \lim_{t \uparrow T} u_t \leq 0 \) if (10) holds. Because \( U(z, 1) \geq 0, \) this suggests that \( U(Z_t, 1) = 0 \) and hence \( Z_t \in A \) almost surely.
\end{proof}

\begin{lemma}
Let \( u_t \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \alpha_t, \beta_t \geq 0, \) and \( \frac{d}{dt} u_t \leq -\alpha_t u_t + \beta_t, \) \( t \in [0, T] \) for \( T > 0. \) We have
\[ u_t \leq \frac{1}{\zeta_t} (\zeta_0 u_0 + \int_0^t \zeta_s \beta_s ds), \]
where \( \zeta_t = \exp(\int_0^t \alpha_s ds). \)
Therefore, we have \( \lim_{t \uparrow T} u_t \leq 0 \) if
\[ \lim_{t \uparrow T} \zeta_t = +\infty, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow T} \int_0^t \frac{\zeta_t}{\zeta_s} \beta_s ds = +\infty. \]
\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}
Let \( v_t = \zeta_t u_t, \) where \( \zeta_t = \exp(\int_0^t \alpha_s ds) \) so \( \dot{\zeta}_t = \zeta_t \alpha_t. \) Then
\[ \frac{d}{dt} v_t = \dot{\zeta}_t u_t + \zeta_t \dot{u}_t \leq (\zeta_t - \zeta_t \alpha_t) u_t + \zeta_t \beta_t = \zeta_t \beta_t. \]
So
\[ v_t \leq v_0 + \beta \int_0^t \gamma_s ds, \]
and hence
\[ u_t \leq \frac{1}{\zeta_t} (\zeta_0 u_0 + \int_0^t \zeta_s \beta_s ds). \]
To make \( \lim_{t \uparrow T} u_t \leq 0, \) we want
\[ \lim_{t \uparrow T} \zeta_t = +\infty, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow T} \int_0^t \frac{\zeta_t}{\zeta_s} \beta_s ds = +\infty. \]
\end{proof}
Corollary A.3. Let $d Z_t = \frac{Z_t}{t} dt + \zeta_t d W_t$ with law $Q$. This uses the drift term of Brownian bridge, but have a time-varying diffusion coefficient $\zeta_t \geq 0$. Assume $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \zeta_t < \infty$. Then $Q(Z_t = z) = 1$.

Proof. We verify the conditions in Theorem A.1. Define $U(z, t) = \|x - z\|^2/2$, and $\eta(z, t) = \frac{z - z_t}{t}$. We have $\eta(z, t) = U(z, t)/(T - t)$. So $\zeta_t = 1/(T - t)$.

Also, $\partial_t U(z, t) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\zeta_t^2 \nabla^2 U(z, t)) = \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}((\zeta_t^2 I_{d \times d}) = \frac{d}{\zeta_t^2} \leq C < \infty$.

Then $\zeta_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t \zeta_s ds\right) = \frac{1}{1 - t} \to +\infty$ as $t \to T$.

Also, $\int_0^t \zeta_s \beta_s ds \leq C \int_0^t \zeta_s ds = CT(\log(T) - \log(T - t))$. So

$$\lim_{t \to T} \int_0^t \zeta_s \beta_s ds \geq \lim_{t \to T} \frac{1}{T - t} CT(\log(T) - \log(T - t)) = +\infty.$$ 

Using Girsanov theorem, we show that introducing arbitrary non-singular changes (as defined below) on the drift and initialization of a process does not change its bridge conditions.

Proposition A.4. Consider the following processes

- $Q$: $Z_t = b_t(Z_t)dt + \sigma_t(Z_t)dW_t, \quad Z_0 \sim \mu_0$
- $\tilde{Q}$: $Z_t = (b_t(Z_t) + \sigma_t(Z_t)f_t(Z_t))dt + \sigma_t(Z_t)dW_t, \quad Z_0 \sim \tilde{\mu}_0$.

Assume we have $\mathcal{KL}(\mu_0 || \tilde{\mu}_0) < +\infty$ and $\mathbb{E}_Q[\int_0^T \|f_t(Z_{[0,t]})\|^2] < \infty$. Then for any event $A$, we have $Q(Z \in A) = 1$ if and only if $\tilde{Q}(Z \in A) = 1$.

Proof. Using Girsanov theorem [31], we have

$$\mathcal{KL}(Q || \tilde{Q}) = \mathcal{KL}(\mu_0 || \tilde{\mu}_0) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_Q\left[\int_0^1 \|f_t(Z_t)\|^2 dt\right].$$

Hence, we have $\mathcal{KL}(Q || \tilde{Q}) < +\infty$. This implies that $Q$ and $\tilde{Q}$ has the same support. Hence $Q(Z \in A) = 1$ if and only if $\tilde{Q}(Z \in A) = 1$ for any measurable set $A$.

This gives an immediate proof of the following result that we use in the paper.

Corollary A.5. Consider the following two processes:

- $\mathcal{Q}_{x,bb}$: $dZ_t = \left(\sigma_t^2 \frac{x - Z_t}{\beta_1 - \beta_t}\right) dt + \sigma_t dW_t, \quad Z_0 \sim \mu_0$.
- $\mathcal{Q}_{x,bb,f}$: $dZ_t = \left(\sigma_t f_t(Z_t) + \sigma_t^2 \frac{x - Z_t}{\beta_1 - \beta_t}\right) dt + \sigma_t dW_t, \quad Z_0 \sim \mu_0$.

Assume $\mathcal{Q}_{x,bb,f}(\|f_t(Z_t)\|^2) < +\infty$ and $\sigma_t > 0$ for $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Then $\mathcal{Q}_{x,bb,f}$ is a bridge to $x$.

B. Model Details

B.1 Model Architecture for Molecule Generation.

Following EGM [17], we apply an E(3) equivariant GNN network (EGNN) as our basic model architecture. EGNNs are a type of graph neural networks that satisfies the equivariance constraint,

$$R \cdot x' + t, h' = f(R \cdot x + t, h) \quad \text{when} \quad x', h' = f(x, h),$$

where $x$ and $h$ represent the 3D coordinates and additional features, orthogonal $R$ stands for the random rotation and $t \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is a random transformation. One EGNN is usually made up of multiple stacked equivariant graph convolutional layers (EGCL), and every EGCL satisfies the
Figure 5: More visualization result of our Bridge-Statistic method, the upper row is chair category and the lower row is airplane category.

equivariance constraint. Denote $N$ the number of nodes, $x^l$ and $h^l$ the coordinates and features for layer $l \in \{0, \ldots, L\}$, we have

$$m_{ij} = \phi_x(h^l_i, h^l_j, d_{ij}),$$

$$h^{l+1}_i = \phi_h(h^l_i, \{m_{ij}^j \}_{j=1}^N),$$

$$x^{l+1}_i = x^l_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{x^l_i - x^l_j}{d + 1} \phi_x(h^l_i, h^l_j, d_{ij}),$$

where $h^0 = h$, $x^0 = x$, $d_{ij} = \|x^l_i - x^l_j\|_2$, $d_{ij} + 1$ is introduced to improve training stability, and $\phi_x, \phi_h, \phi_z$ represents fully connected neural network with learnable parameters. We refer the readers to the previous paper [34] for more details.

Scaling Features Following [17], we re-scale the data with additional scaling factors. The atom type one-hot vector and atom charge value $\cdot \cdot \cdot 25$ and $\cdot \cdot \cdot 0.1$, respectively. It significantly improves performance over non-scaled inputs, e.g. 47% relative improvements on molecule stability.

B.2 Model Architecture for Point Cloud Generation.

We build up our network based on the setup in point cloud diffusion work [25] without extra modification for a fair comparison. The model consists two parts. The first part is a flow model that learns the shape prior and the second part takes the shape prior and the noisy point coordinates into a MLP style encoder as the denoise function. We refer the readers to the previous paper [25] for more details.

C More Visualization for Point Cloud Generation

Below we show more visualization of our point cloud generation result in both chair and airplane class. We focus on presenting our best performance Bridge-Statistic visualization in Figure 5.

D Discussion of Broader Impact

This research aims to generate molecules and point cloud samples with geometry prior guided bridge processes. It is possible to be beneficial for drug design, the food industry and many other fields. However, it might be used for generating harmful molecules and viruses.