Supplementary Material for ACIL: Analytic Class-Incremental Learning with Absolute Memorization and Privacy Protection

Huiping Zhuang¹, Zhenyu Weng^{2*}, Hongxin Wei³, Renchunzi Xie³, Kar-Ann Toh⁴, Zhiping Lin² ¹Shien-Ming Wu School of Intelligent Engineering, South China University of Technology, China ²School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

³School of Computer Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

⁴Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Yonsei University, Korea

Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. We first solves the recursive formulation for the RFAuM R_k . According to the Woodbury matrix identity, for any invertible square matrices A and C, we have

$$(A + UCV)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(C^{-1} + VA^{-1}U)VA^{-1}.$$

Let $A = R_{k-1}^{-1}$, $U = X_k^{\text{(fe)T}}$, $V = X_k^{\text{(fe)}}$, and C = I. Hence, from $R_k = (R_{k-1}^{-1} + X_k^{\text{(fe)T}} X_k^{\text{(fe)}})^{-1}$ and the Woodbury matrix identity, we have

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{k} = \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} - \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})} \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}}) \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1}$$
(a)

which completes the proof for the recursive formulation of RFAuM. Let Q_{k-1} = $[X_0^{(\text{fe})T}Y_0 \dots X_{k-1}^{(\text{fe})T}Y_{k-1}]$. According to (7), (8) and (a), we have

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{W}}_{\text{FCN}}^{(k)} = \boldsymbol{R}_{k} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{Q}_{k-1} & \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{\text{(fe)T}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{k}^{\text{train}} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{R}_{k} \boldsymbol{Q}_{k-1} & \boldsymbol{R}_{k} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{\text{(fe)T}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{k}^{\text{train}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(b)

where

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{R}_{k} \boldsymbol{Q}_{k-1} &= \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{Q}_{k-1} - \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{Q}_{k-1} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\hat{W}}_{\text{FCN}}^{(k-1)} - \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} \boldsymbol{\hat{W}}_{\text{FCN}}^{(k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$
(c)

Let $K_k = (I + X_k^{\text{(fe)}} R_{k-1} X_k^{\text{(fe)T}})^{-1}$. Since,

$$\boldsymbol{I} = \boldsymbol{K}_k \boldsymbol{K}_k^{-1} = \boldsymbol{K}_k (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{X}_k^{\text{(fe)}} \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_k^{\text{(fe)}}),$$

we have $K_k = I - K_k X_k^{\text{(fe)}} R_{k-1} X_k^{\text{(fe)T}}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})} \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}})^{-1} = \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} \boldsymbol{K}_{k} \\ & = \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{K}_{k} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})} \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}}) \\ & = (\boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} - \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1} \boldsymbol{K}_{k} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})} \boldsymbol{R}_{k-1}) \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} = \boldsymbol{R}_{k} \boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{(\text{fe})\text{T}} \end{split}$$

which allows (c) to be reduced to

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{k}\boldsymbol{Q}_{k-1} = \boldsymbol{\hat{W}}_{\text{FCN}}^{(k-1)} - \boldsymbol{R}_{k}\boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{\text{(fe)T}}\boldsymbol{X}_{k}^{\text{(fe)}}\boldsymbol{\hat{W}}_{\text{FCN}}^{(k-1)}.$$
(d)
), we complete the proof.

By substituting (d) into (b), we complete the proof.

36th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2022).

¹hpzhuang@scut.edu.cn,²{zhenyu.weng, ezplin}@ntu.edu.sg ³{hongxin001, XIER0002}@e.ntu.edu.sg,⁴katoh@yonsei.ac.kr

^{*}Corresponding author.

2 Strict-Memory Setting

Here we also give the average incremental accuracy (see Table A) for the compared methods for strict-memory setting (i.e., only a fixed memory is allowed for the CIL). We adopt the memory budget used in the RMM paper [12]. In details, for each benchmark data, the memory budget is determined according to the phase number K. For instance [12], on CIFAR-10, the budget is 7k samples for K = 5 (7k samples = 10 classes per phase \times 500 samples per class + 2k samples). The numbers reported in Table A are duplicated from [12] where the compared methods are implemented in the same setting.

The ACIL gives identical results either in growing-exemplar or fixed memory settings. This is because the ACIL does not belong to the branch of replay-based CIL.

Table A: Comparison of average incremental accuracy among compared methods for strict-memory setting.

| Metric | Method | Privacy | CIFAR-100 | | | | ImageNet-Subset | | | | ImageNet-Full | | | |
|--------|-------------------------------|---------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | | K=5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | K=5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | K=5 | 10 | 25 | 50 |
| Ā(%) | LwF (TPAMI 2018) | ~ | 56.79 | 53.05 | 50.44 | - | 58.83 | 53.60 | 50.16 | - | 52.00 | 47.87 | 47.49 | - |
| | iCaRL (CVPR 2017) | × | 60.48 | 56.04 | 52.07 | - | 67.33 | 62.42 | 57.04 | - | 50.57 | 48.27 | 49.44 | - |
| | LUCIR (CVPR 2019) | × | 63.34 | 62.47 | 59.69 | - | 71.21 | 68.21 | 64.15 | - | 65.16 | 62.34 | 57.37 | - |
| | PODNet (ECCV 2020) | × | 64.60 | 63.13 | 61.96 | - | 76.45 | 74.66 | 70.15 | - | 66.80 | 64.89 | 60.28 | - |
| | LUCIR+Mnemonics (CVPR 2020) | × | 64.59 | 62.59 | 61.02 | - | 72.60 | 71.66 | 70.52 | - | 65.40 | 64.02 | 62.05 | - |
| | POD+AANets (CVPR 2021) | × | 66.61 | 64.61 | 62.63 | - | 77.36 | 75.83 | 72.18 | - | 67.97 | 65.03 | 62.03 | - |
| | POD+AANets+RMM (NeuriPS 2021) | × | 68.86 | 67.61 | 66.21 | - | 79.52 | 78.47 | 76.54 | - | 69.21 | 67.45 | 63.93 | - |
| | ACIL | ~ | 66.30 | 66.07 | 65.95 | 66.01 | 74.81 | 74.76 | 74.59 | 74.13 | 65.34 | 64.84 | 64.63 | 64.35 |