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A Implementation Details

VLM Evaluation To evaluate two VLMs (Frozen in Time [1] and VideoCLIP [13]), we use a hybrid approach that leverages both prototypical networks [11] and the video-language similarity metrics learned by both models. Below, we show an ablation study where we use only the video prototype networks. We show the performance of using only language similarity in the few-shot case to demonstrate the effects of sample removal, and we also show the effects of our hybrid weighting scheme, where we weight the language embeddings five times more than the video embeddings when constructing the hybrid prototype (as opposed to equal weighting during the regular hybrid approach). Although we perform our ablation study with Frozen-in-Time, and use the same weighting scheme and prototype strategy for VideoCLIP as well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5-shot Video Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video prototypes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language prototypes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid prototypes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted hybrid prototypes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Example outputs of scene graph detection on the MOMA-LRG test set. As input, our model is given a static frame and outputs the objects, bounding boxes, and relationships occurring during the activity.
Table 2: Entity detection and tracking results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity Detection</th>
<th>Entity Tracking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP50</td>
<td>AP50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP75</td>
<td>AP75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APM</td>
<td>APM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOTA</td>
<td>HOTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DetA</td>
<td>DetA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AssA</td>
<td>AssA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LocA</td>
<td>LocA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actor
- AP: 38.3567
- AP50: 58.1256
- AP75: 41.2369
- APM: 7.8053
- API: 19.4897
- HOTA: 40.0392
- DetA: 38.859
- AssA: 35.669
- LocA: 45.191
- Total: 73.963

Object
- AP: 14.1730
- AP50: 24.5994
- AP75: 13.7196
- APM: 5.4347
- API: 10.7436
- HOTA: 15.8665
- DetA: 35.686
- AssA: 23.734
- LocA: 57.127
- Total: 74.924

Table 3: A comparison of MOMA-LRG’s vocabulary with related video datasets. MOMA-LRG’s hierarchy unifies several definitions together (src: source, trg: target, atr: actor, obj: object, c: classified, g: grounded, t: tracked).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Unary predicate</th>
<th>Binary predicate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>src_atr src_obj</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>src_atr src_obj</td>
<td>src_atr src_obj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWA</td>
<td>Pose g,t</td>
<td>Person-person/object interaction g,t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Genome</td>
<td></td>
<td>Relationship g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FineGym</td>
<td>Sub-action -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Action Genome</td>
<td></td>
<td>Relationship g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MultiSports</td>
<td>Action g,t</td>
<td>Action g,t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Something</td>
<td>Activity g,t</td>
<td>Activity g,t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DALY</td>
<td>Act c,g</td>
<td>Human-object interaction c,g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEVA</td>
<td>Activity g,t</td>
<td>Activity g,t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TITAN</td>
<td>Vehicle State/Action c,g,t</td>
<td>Communicative c,g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contextual/Transportive c,g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOMA-LRG
- Attribute c,g,t
- Relationship c,g,t

B Dataset Statistics

Please see Figures 4-9 for the detailed dataset statistics. Specifically,

- 148 hours of videos
- 1,412 activity instances from 20 activity classes ranging from 31s to 600s and with an average duration of 241s.
- 15,842 sub-activity instances from 91 sub-activity classes ranging from 3s to 31s and with an average duration of 9s.
- 161,265 atomic action interaction instances.
- 636,194 image-level actor instances and 104,564 video-level actor instances from 26 classes.
- 349,034 image-level object instances and 47,494 video-level object instances from 225 classes.
- 1,037,319 relationship instances from 52 classes.
- 704,230 attribute instances from 13 classes.

C Dataset Access

Along with the MOMA-LRG dataset, we release a dataset toolkit[1] that allows easy access and will facilitate replication of the results in our work and future works as well. This code base quickly processes the dataset and allows for easy integration of MOMA-LRG within any existing framework. The layout of the MOMA-LRG dataset directory is described in Figure 9.

[1]https://github.com/d1ng1gef1/moma
Figure 2: Partonomic and taxonomic hierarchies of MOMA-LRG. MOMA-LRG breaks down activities into sub-activities, which are in turn described by atomic actions. Atomic actions are broken down into entities (actors and objects), whose interactions with each other are described by predicates that either attributes (unary, involving one entity) or relationship (binary, involving two entities).

Figure 9: The dataset directory layout.
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