A Omitted Derivations of Formulas

We have omitted a number of complicated formulas in the main text to provide clear intuition and concise proof sketch. We will list all mentioned formulas here for readers' reference.

$$\sigma_{d}(A_{t+1}) \geq \sigma_{d}(A_{t} + \eta(\Sigma - A_{t}A_{t}^{\top})A_{t}) - \eta\left(3\sqrt{2\sigma_{1}}\|B_{t}\|_{op}^{2} + \sqrt{2\sigma_{1}}(\|K_{t}\|_{op}^{2} + \|J_{t}\|_{op}^{2})\right) \\
\geq \sqrt{\sigma_{d}(\overline{S}_{t+1})} - \eta\left(3\sqrt{2\sigma_{1}}e_{b}^{2}\varepsilon^{2}d^{2} + \sqrt{2\sigma_{1}}c^{2}\varepsilon^{2}(m+n)\right) \\
\geq \sqrt{(1 + \eta(\sigma_{d} - \sigma_{d}(A_{t})^{2}))^{2}\sigma_{d}(A_{t})^{2} - 22\sigma_{1}^{3}\eta^{2}} \\
- 1.5\sqrt{2\sigma_{1}}\eta(e_{b}^{2} + c^{2})\varepsilon^{2}(m+n)d.$$
(20)

$$||C_t||_{op} \leq 2\sqrt{2\sigma_1}e_b^2d^2\varepsilon^2 + \sqrt{2\sigma_1}c^2\varepsilon^2 \left(\max\{d,m'\} + \max\{d,n'\}\right)$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2\sigma_1}(e_b^2 + c^2)(m+n)d\varepsilon^2;$$

$$||D_t||_{op} \leq 4\sigma_1e_bd\varepsilon + \sqrt{2\sigma_1}c^2\varepsilon^2 \left(\max\{d,m'\} + \max\{d,n'\}\right)$$

$$\leq 8\sigma_1e_bd\varepsilon.$$
(21)

$$\begin{split} \|B_{t+1}\|_{F}^{2} - \|B_{t}\|_{F}^{2} &= -2\eta \left\langle B_{t}B_{t}^{\top}, \Sigma - A_{t}A_{t}^{\top} + B_{t}B_{t}^{\top} + \frac{K_{t}^{\top}K_{t} + J_{t}^{\top}J_{t}}{2} \right\rangle \\ &- \eta \|A_{t}B_{t}^{\top} - B_{t}A_{t}^{\top}\|_{F}^{2} + \eta \left\langle B_{t}^{\top}A_{t}, K_{t}^{\top}K_{t} - J_{t}^{\top}J_{t} \right\rangle \\ &+ \eta^{2} \|(\Sigma - A_{t}A_{t}^{\top} + B_{t}B_{t}^{\top})B_{t} + (A_{t}B_{t}^{\top} - B_{t}A_{t}^{\top})A_{t} \\ &- A_{t}\frac{K_{t}^{\top}K_{t} - J_{t}^{\top}J_{t}}{2} - B_{t}\frac{K_{t}^{\top}K_{t} + J_{t}^{\top}J_{t}}{2} \|_{F}^{2} \\ &\leq -2\eta\lambda_{d}(P_{t})\|B_{t}\|_{F}^{2} + \eta \|B_{t}^{\top}A_{t}\|_{F}\|K_{t}^{\top}K_{t} - J_{t}^{\top}J_{t}\|_{F} \\ &+ \eta^{2}\|(\Sigma - A_{t}A_{t}^{\top} + B_{t}B_{t}^{\top})B_{t} + (A_{t}B_{t}^{\top} - B_{t}A_{t}^{\top})A_{t} \\ &- A_{t}\frac{K_{t}^{\top}K_{t} - J_{t}^{\top}J_{t}}{2} - B_{t}\frac{K_{t}^{\top}K_{t} + J_{t}^{\top}J_{t}}{2} \|_{F}^{2} \\ &\leq O(\eta e_{b}^{2} \varepsilon^{2}(m+n)d\kappa)\|B_{t}\|_{F}^{2} + O(\eta\sqrt{\sigma_{1}}e_{b}(m+n)d^{2} \varepsilon^{3}) \\ &+ O(\eta^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} e_{b}^{2} d^{2} \varepsilon^{2}). \end{aligned}$$

$$= O(\eta e_{b}^{2} \varepsilon^{2}(m+n)d\kappa)\|B_{t}\|_{F}^{2} + O(\eta\sqrt{\sigma_{1}}e_{b}(m+n)d^{2} \varepsilon^{3}). \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{split} \Sigma - U_{t+1+T_0} V_{t+1+T_0}^{\top} &= (I - \eta U_{t+T_0} U_{t+T_0}^{\top}) (\Sigma - U_{t+T_0} V_{t+T_0}^{\top}) (I - \eta V_{t+T_0} V_{t+T_0}^{\top}) \\ &- \eta^2 U_{t+T_0} U_{t+T_0}^{\top} (\Sigma - U_{t+T_0} V_{t+T_0}^{\top}) V_{t+T_0} V_{t+T_0}^{\top} \\ &- \eta^2 (\Sigma - U_{t+T_0} V_{t+T_0}^{\top}) V_{t+T_0} U_{t+T_0}^{\top} (\Sigma - U_{t+T_0} V_{t+T_0}^{\top}) \\ &+ \eta (U_{t+T_0} + \eta (\Sigma - U_{t+T_0} V_{t+T_0}^{\top}) V_{t+T_0}) J_{t+T_0}^{\top} J_{t+T_0} V_{t+T_0}^{\top} \\ &+ \eta U_{t+T_0} K_{t+T_0}^{\top} K_{t+T_0} (V_{t+T_0} + \eta (\Sigma - U_{t+T_0} V_{t+T_0}^{\top})^{\top} U_{t+T_0})^{\top} \\ &- \eta^2 U_{t+T_0} K_{t+T_0}^{\top} K_{t+T_0} J_{t+T_0} J_{t+T_0} V_{t+T_0}^{\top}. \end{split}$$
(23)

B Dynamics in the Symmetric and Full-Rank Case

We consider the case where U = V = A and Σ is symmetric and full-rank, and we use gradient flow. We can derive the dynamics of $S = AA^{\top}$ as $\dot{S} := (\Sigma - S)S + S(\Sigma - S)$, which is a quadratic ordinary differential equation and it is hard to solve directly. However, if we define $\overline{X} := S^{-1}$, we have $S\overline{X} \equiv I$. Taking the derivative implies $\dot{S}\overline{X} + S\dot{\overline{X}} = 0$. Hence, $\overline{X} = -S^{-1}\dot{S}S^{-1}$. Substitute $\dot{S} = (\Sigma - S)S + S(\Sigma - S)$ in it, we have

$$\dot{\overline{X}} = -S^{-1}\left((\Sigma - S)S + S(\Sigma - S)\right)S^{-1} = -\overline{X}\Sigma - \Sigma\overline{X} + 2I$$

which is a *linear* ordinary differential equation.

For simplicity, define $X := \overline{X} - \Sigma^{-1}$. Then

$$\dot{X} = -X\Sigma - \Sigma X. \tag{24}$$

Solving this equation and we have

$$X(t) = e^{-t\Sigma} X_0 e^{-t\Sigma}.$$
(25)

Finally, we could conclude that

$$S(t) = \left(e^{-t\Sigma}(S_0^{-1} - \Sigma^{-1})e^{-t\Sigma} + \Sigma^{-1}\right)^{-1}.$$
(26)

Similarly, because P's dynamic is $\dot{P} = -(\Sigma - P)P - P(\Sigma - P)$, we have

$$P(t) = \left(e^{t\Sigma}(P_0^{-1} - \Sigma^{-1})e^{t\Sigma} + \Sigma^{-1}\right)^{-1},$$
(27)

where $P_0 := \Sigma - S_0$.

And it is interesting to verify that $S(t) + P(t) \equiv \Sigma$ by using the following lemma.

Lemma B.1. Suppose $S, P, E \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ are three positive definite matrices. $\Sigma = S + P$. Suppose E commutes with Σ . Then

$$\left(E(S^{-1} - \Sigma^{-1})E + \Sigma^{-1}\right)^{-1} + \left(E^{-1}(P^{-1} - \Sigma^{-1})E^{-1} + \Sigma^{-1}\right)^{-1} = \Sigma.$$

C Proof of Lemmas

Proof of lemma B.1. Since Σ is invertible, we only need to verify the equation after right multiplying both side by Σ^{-1} . We have

$$(E(S^{-1} - \Sigma^{-1})E + \Sigma^{-1})^{-1}\Sigma^{-1} + (E^{-1}(P^{-1} - \Sigma^{-1})E^{-1} + \Sigma^{-1})^{-1}\Sigma^{-1}$$

$$= (E(\Sigma S^{-1} - I)E + I)^{-1} + (E^{-1}(\Sigma P^{-1} - I)E^{-1} + I)^{-1}$$
(28)

$$= (E(PS^{-1})E + I)^{-1} + (E^{-1}(SP^{-1})E^{-1} + I)^{-1}$$
(29)

$$= (Z+I)^{-1} + (Z^{-1}+I)^{-1}$$
 (we denote $E(PS^{-1})E$ by Z here) (30)

$$= (Z + I)^{-1} + Z(Z + I)^{-1} = I = \Sigma \Sigma^{-1},$$

where (28) is because Σ commutes with E, (29) is because $\Sigma = S + P$ and finally (30) is because $(E(PS^{-1})E)^{-1} = E^{-1}(SP^{-1})E^{-1}$.

General analysis for lemma 3.2 and 3.3 Suppose \overline{S} , \widetilde{S} and Σ are three symmetric matrices. Define $D = \overline{S} - \widetilde{S}$. Then we have equation

$$(I + \eta(\Sigma - \bar{S}))\bar{S}(I + \eta(\Sigma - \bar{S})) - (I + \eta(\Sigma - \bar{S}))S(I + \eta(\Sigma - \bar{S}))$$

$$= \bar{S} - \tilde{S} + \eta\left((\Sigma - \bar{S})\bar{S} + \bar{S}(\Sigma - \bar{S}) - (\Sigma - \tilde{S})\tilde{S} + \tilde{S}(\Sigma - \tilde{S})\right)$$

$$+ \eta^{2}\left((\Sigma - \bar{S})\bar{S}(\Sigma - \bar{S}) - (\Sigma - \tilde{S})\tilde{S}(\Sigma - \tilde{S})\right)$$

$$= D + \eta((\Sigma - \bar{S} - \tilde{S})D + D(\Sigma - \bar{S} - \tilde{S}))$$

$$+ \eta^{2}\left((\Sigma - \bar{S})\bar{S}(\Sigma - \bar{S}) - (\Sigma - \tilde{S})\tilde{S}(\Sigma - \tilde{S})\right)$$

$$= \left(I + \eta(\Sigma - \bar{S} - \tilde{S})\right)D\left(I + \eta(\Sigma - \bar{S} - \tilde{S})\right)$$

$$+ \eta^{2}\left((\Sigma - \bar{S} - \tilde{S})D(\Sigma - \bar{S} - \tilde{S}) + (\Sigma - \bar{S})\bar{S}(\Sigma - \bar{S}) - (\Sigma - \tilde{S})\tilde{S}(\Sigma - \tilde{S})\right). (31)$$

Proof of lemma 3.2. First of all, we can expand the expression of S' and split it in the following terms.

$$\sigma_{d}(S') \geq \lambda_{d} \left(\beta S - 2\eta S^{2} + \eta^{2} S^{3}\right) + \sigma_{d} \left((1 - \beta)S + \eta \Sigma S + \eta S\Sigma + \frac{\eta^{2}}{1 - \beta}\Sigma S\Sigma\right) \\ + \eta^{2} \lambda_{d} \left(-\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta}\Sigma S\Sigma - \Sigma SS - SS\Sigma\right).$$

For the first term $\beta S - 2\eta S^2 + \eta^2 S^3$, its eigenvalues are $\beta s_i - 2\eta s_i^2 + \eta^2 s_i^3$ since S is commutable with itself, where s_i is the *i*th largest singular value of S. By the assumptions $s_i \leq 2\sigma_1$ and $\eta \leq \frac{\beta}{8\sigma_1}$, we see the smallest eigenvalue of $\beta S - 2\eta S^2 + \eta^2 S^3$ is exactly $\beta s - 2\eta s^2 + \eta^2 s^3$.

For the second term, it can be rewritten as

$$(1-\beta)S + \eta\Sigma S + \eta\Sigma \Sigma + \frac{\eta^2}{1-\beta}\Sigma S\Sigma \equiv \left(\sqrt{1-\beta}I + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{1-\beta}}\Sigma\right)S\left(\sqrt{1-\beta}I + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{1-\beta}}\Sigma\right).$$

Hence, the minimal singular value can be bounded by $\left(\sqrt{1-\beta} + \frac{\eta\sigma_d}{\sqrt{1-\beta}}\right)^2 s$.

Finally, the last term can be lower bounded by $-\eta^2 \sigma_1 \left(-\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \Sigma S \Sigma - \Sigma S S - S S \Sigma \right) \ge -\frac{8+6\beta}{1-\beta} \eta^2 \sigma_1^3$. Summing up all three terms and we get

$$s' \geq (\beta s - 2\eta s^{2} + \eta^{2} s^{3}) + \left(\sqrt{1 - \beta} + \frac{\eta \sigma_{d}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta}}\right)^{2} s - \frac{8 + 6\beta}{1 - \beta} \eta^{2} \sigma_{1}^{3}$$

$$= (1 + \eta(\sigma_{d} - s))^{2} s + \frac{\beta \sigma_{d}^{2}}{1 - \beta} \eta^{2} s + 2\sigma_{d} \eta^{2} s^{2} - \frac{8 + 6\beta}{1 - \beta} \sigma_{1}^{3} \eta^{2}$$

$$\geq (1 + \eta(\sigma_{d} - s))^{2} s - \frac{8 + 6\beta}{1 - \beta} \sigma_{1}^{3} \eta^{2}.$$

Remark: If we choose $\bar{S} = S$ and $\tilde{S} = \sigma_d(S)I$ in equation (31), we know $D = \bar{S} - \tilde{S} \succeq 0$. Hence $\sigma_d(S') \ge (1 + \eta(\sigma_d - s))^2 s - O(\sigma_1^3 \eta^2)$.

Proof of lemma 3.3. If $p \ge 0$, it suggests that P is positive semi-definite, and P' is positive semi-definite, too. Hence $p' \ge 0$ if $p \ge 0$.

If $p \leq 0$, we can expand the expression of P' and split it in the following terms.

$$\lambda_{d}(P') \geq \lambda_{d} \left(\beta P + 2\eta P^{2} + \eta^{2} P^{3}\right) + \lambda_{d} \left((1-\beta)P - \eta\Sigma P - \eta P\Sigma + \frac{\eta^{2}}{1-\beta}\Sigma P\Sigma\right) \\ + \eta^{2} \lambda_{d} \left(-\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\Sigma P\Sigma - \Sigma PP - PP\Sigma\right).$$

For the first term $\beta P + 2\eta P^2 + \eta^2 P^3$, its eigenvalues are $\beta p_i + 2\eta p_i^2 + \eta^2 p_i^3$ since P is commutable with itself, where p_i is the *i*th largest eigenvalue of P. By the assumptions $|p_i| \leq 2\sigma_1$ and $\eta \leq \frac{\beta}{8\sigma_1}$, we see the smallest eigenvalue of $\beta P + 2\eta P^2 + \eta^2 P^3$ is exactly $\beta p + 2\eta p^2 + \eta^2 p^3$. For the second term, it can be rewritten as

$$(1-\beta)P - \eta\Sigma P - \eta P\Sigma + \frac{\eta^2}{1-\beta}\Sigma P\Sigma \equiv \left(\sqrt{1-\beta}I - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{1-\beta}}\Sigma\right)P\left(\sqrt{1-\beta}I - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{1-\beta}}\Sigma\right).$$

Hence, the minimal eigenvalue can be bounded by $\left(\sqrt{1-\beta} - \frac{\eta\sigma_d}{\sqrt{1-\beta}}\right)^2 p$ if $p \le 0$.

Finally, the last term can be lower bounded by $-\eta^2 \sigma_1 \left(-\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \Sigma P \Sigma - \Sigma P P - P P \Sigma \right) \geq -\frac{8+6\beta}{1-\beta} \eta^2 \sigma_1^3$. Summing up all three terms and we get that when $p \leq 0$,

$$p' \geq (\beta p + 2\eta p^{2} + \eta^{2} p^{3}) + \left(\sqrt{1 - \beta} - \frac{\eta \sigma_{d}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta}}\right)^{2} p - \frac{8 + 6\beta}{1 - \beta} \eta^{2} \sigma_{1}^{3}$$

$$= (1 - \eta(\sigma_{d} - p))^{2} p + \frac{\beta \sigma_{d}^{2}}{1 - \beta} \eta^{2} p + 2\sigma_{d} \eta^{2} p^{2} - \frac{8 + 6\beta}{1 - \beta} \sigma_{1}^{3} \eta^{2}$$

$$\geq (1 - \eta(\sigma_{d} - p))^{2} p - \frac{8 + 6\beta}{1 - \beta} \sigma_{1}^{3} \eta^{2}$$

$$\geq (1 - \eta \sigma_{d})^{2} p - \frac{8 + 6\beta}{1 - \beta} \sigma_{1}^{3} \eta^{2}.$$

Remark: Similarly, if we choose $\overline{S} = P$ and $\widetilde{S} = \lambda_d(P)I$ in equation (31), we have $D = P - \lambda_d(P)I \succeq 0$. Hence we have $\lambda_d(P') \ge \min \{0, (1 - \eta\sigma_d)^2 p + O(\sigma_1^3\eta^2)\}.$

D Solving the Iteration Formula of *a*

In this section we analyze the iteration formula (15).

We first consider the case when $a_t \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_d}{2}}$. Notice that $a_t \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{c\sqrt{d}}$, we have

$$\sqrt{(1+\eta(\sigma_d-\sigma_d(A_t)^2))^2\sigma_d(A_t)^2-22\sigma_1^3\eta^2} \ge (1+\eta(\sigma_d-\sigma_d(A_t)^2))\sigma_d(A_t)-22\frac{c\sqrt{d}}{\varepsilon}\sigma_1^3\eta^2,$$

where we choose η so small that $22\sigma_1^3\eta^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{c^2d}$.

By taking $\varepsilon = O\left(\frac{\sigma_d}{\sqrt{d^3\sigma_1e_b^2(m+n)}}\right)$ and $\eta = O\left(\frac{\sigma_d\varepsilon^2}{d\sigma_1^3}\right)$, we have $\frac{1}{2}\eta(\sigma_d - a_t^2)a_t \ge \frac{1}{2}\eta\frac{\sigma_d}{2}\frac{\varepsilon}{c\sqrt{d}} \ge 22\frac{c\sqrt{d}}{\varepsilon}\sigma_1^3\eta^2 + 1.5\sqrt{2\sigma_1}\eta(e_b^2 + c^2)\varepsilon^2(m+n)d$, hence,

$$a_{t+1} \ge \left(1 + \frac{\eta}{2}(\sigma_d - a_t^2)\right)a_t,\tag{32}$$

and

$$s_{t+1} \ge \left(1 + \frac{\eta}{2}(\sigma_d - s_t)\right)^2 s_t \ge (1 + \eta(\sigma_d - s_t)) s_t.$$
(33)

Subtracting σ_d by (33), we have

$$\sigma_d - s_{t+1} \le (1 - \eta s_t)(\sigma_d - s_t). \tag{34}$$

Dividing (33) by (34) we have

$$\frac{s_{t+1}}{\sigma_d - s_{t+1}} \ge \frac{1 + \eta(\sigma_d - s_t)}{1 - \eta s_t} \frac{s_t}{\sigma_d - s_t} \ge (1 + \eta \sigma_d) \frac{s_t}{\sigma_d - s_t}.$$

Hence, $\frac{s_T}{\sigma_d - s_T} \ge (1 + \eta \sigma_d)^T \frac{s_0}{\sigma_d}$. So, it takes at most $T_1 := O\left(\frac{1}{\eta \sigma_d} \ln \frac{d\sigma_d}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ iterations to bring a_t to at least $\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_d}{2}}$.

E Solving the Iteration Formula on *B*

The iteration formula can be summarized as

$$||B_{t+1}||_F^2 \le (1+p)||B_t||_F^2 + q$$

where $p = O(\eta e_b^2 \varepsilon^2 (m+n) d\kappa)$ and $q = O(\eta \sqrt{\sigma_1} e_b (m+n) d^2 \varepsilon^3)$. Moreover, we have

$$||B_T||_F^2 \le (1+p)^T ||B_0||_F^2 + ((1+p)^T - 1)\frac{q}{p}.$$

Suppose $T \leq T_0 = O\left(\frac{1}{\eta\sigma_d}\ln\frac{d\sigma_d}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$. By choosing $\varepsilon = \tilde{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\sigma_d}}{e_b\sqrt{(m+n)d\kappa}}\right)^{12}$, we have $pT \leq pT_0 \leq 1$. Then $(1+p)^T = 1 + \binom{T}{1}p + \binom{T}{2}p^2 + \dots + \binom{T}{T}p^T \leq 1 + Tp\left(1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \dots + \frac{1}{T!}\right) \leq 1 + (e-1)Tp \leq 1 + 2pT$. Hence, $\|B_T\|_F^2 \leq (1+2pT)\|B_0\|_F^2 + 2qT$.

Similarly, by choosing $\varepsilon = \tilde{O}\left(\frac{\sigma_d}{\sqrt{\sigma_1}e_b(m+n)}\right)$, we have $qT \le c^2 d^2 \varepsilon^2$. By taking $e_b = 2c$, we have

$$||B_T||_F^2 \le 3||B_0||_F^2 + c^2 d^2 \varepsilon^2 \le 4c^2 d^2 \varepsilon^2 = e_b^2 d^2 \varepsilon^2,$$

induction succeeds.

F Proof of Stage Two

Here is the full version of the proof. Initially, $\|\Delta_0\|_{op} = \|P_{T_0} + Q_{T_0}\|_{op}$ where $Q = AB^\top - BA^\top$. Hence $\|\Delta_0\|_{op} \leq \sigma_1(P_{T_0}) + \sigma_1(Q_{T_0}) \leq \frac{\sigma_d}{4} + \sqrt{2\sigma_1}\sigma_1(B_{T_0}) \leq \frac{\sigma_d}{3}$. Then for U_{T_0} we have $\frac{2\sigma_d}{3} \leq \sigma_d(\Sigma) - \sigma_1(\Delta_0) \leq \sigma_d(U_{T_0}V_{T_0}^\top) \leq \sigma_d(U_{T_0}U_{T_0}^\top) - 2\sigma_1(U_{T_0}B_{T_0}^\top) \leq \sigma_d(U_{T_0}U_{T_0}^\top) - 4\sqrt{2\sigma_1}O\left(\frac{\sigma_d}{\sqrt{\sigma_1}}\right)$. Hence $\sigma_d(U_{T_0}) \geq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_d}{2}}$. We can do the same thing on V_{T_0} .

First of all, by equations (8) and (9), we have

$$\|J_{t+T_0}\|_{op} \le c\varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{\eta\sigma_d}{2}\right)^t \sqrt{\max\{m', d\}},$$

and

$$\|K_{t+T_0}\|_{op} \le c\varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{\eta\sigma_d}{2}\right)^t \sqrt{\max\{n', d\}}.$$

Expanding $\Sigma - U_{t+1+T_0}V_{t+1+T_0}^{\top}$ by brute force¹³, we get

$$\Delta_{t+1} \leq \left(1 - \frac{\eta \sigma_d}{2}\right)^2 \Delta_t + O(\eta^2 \sigma_1^2) \Delta_t + O\left(\eta \varepsilon^2 \sigma_1(m+n)\right) \left(1 - \frac{\eta \sigma_d}{2}\right)^{2t}$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \frac{\eta \sigma_d}{2}\right) \Delta_t + O\left(\eta \varepsilon^2 \sigma_1(m+n)\right) \left(1 - \frac{\eta \sigma_d}{2}\right)^{2t}.$$

Then,

$$\frac{\Delta_{t+1}}{\left(1-\frac{\eta\sigma_d}{2}\right)^{t+1}} \leq \frac{\Delta_t}{\left(1-\frac{\eta\sigma_d}{2}\right)^t} + O\left(\eta\varepsilon^2\sigma_1(m+n)\right)\left(1-\frac{\eta\sigma_d}{2}\right)^{t-1} \\ \leq \Delta_0 + O\left(\varepsilon^2\kappa(m+n)\right) \\ \leq \frac{2}{5}\sigma_d.$$

Thus we can now verify that $\Delta_t \leq \left(1 - \frac{\eta \sigma_d}{2}\right)^t \frac{2}{5} \sigma_d$. Together with the linear convergence of J and K, we know the gradient descent converge linearly. Notice that by using the operator norm of Δ_t , we can easily prove that $\sigma_d(U)$ and $\sigma_d(V)$ in the next iteration is at least $\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_d}{2}}$ once given $||B_{T_0+t}||_F$ is small.

To give an upper bound on $||B||_F$, we still use equation (19).

First of all, we have $\|P\|_F^2 + \|Q\|_F^2 = \|\Sigma - UV^\top\|_F^2$, since $P + Q = \Sigma - UV^\top$, and $\langle P, Q \rangle = 0$. Hence, $\|P_{t+T_0}\|_F \le \sqrt{d\Delta_t}$ and $\|Q_{t+T_0}\|_F \le \sqrt{d\Delta_t}$.

¹²Here \tilde{O} means there might be some log terms about m, n, κ and e_b on the denominator.

¹³Please see (23) for the result of the expanding.

Finally,

$$\begin{split} \|B_{t+1+T_0}\|_F^2 &\leq \left(1 + 2\eta \left(1 - \frac{\eta \sigma_d}{2}\right)^t \frac{2}{5} \sigma_d\right) \|B_{t+T_0}\|_F^2 + O\left(\eta \sigma_d(m+n) d\varepsilon^2\right) \left(1 - \frac{\eta \sigma_d}{2}\right)^{2t} \\ &+ O\left(\eta^2 d\sigma_1 \sigma_d^2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta \sigma_d}{2}\right)^{2t}\right). \end{split}$$

To solve this iteration formula, we first notice that the product of the main coefficient is bounded by a universal constant,

$$\Xi_T := \prod_{i=0}^{T-1} \left(1 + 2\eta \left(1 - \frac{\eta \sigma_d}{2} \right)^t \frac{2}{5} \sigma_d \right) \leq \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{T-1} 2\eta \left(1 - \frac{\eta \sigma_d}{2} \right)^t \frac{2}{5} \sigma_d \right) \leq e^{\frac{8}{5}},$$

we can then write it into an iteration formula about $\frac{\|B_{t+T_0}\|_F^2}{\Xi_t}$,

$$\frac{\|B_{t+1+T_0}\|_F^2}{\Xi_{t+1}} \leq \frac{\|B_{t+T_0}\|_F^2}{\Xi_t} + O\left(\eta\sigma_d(m+n)d\varepsilon^2\right)\left(1 - \frac{\eta\sigma_d}{2}\right)^{2t} + O\left(\eta^2 d\sigma_1\sigma_d^2\left(1 - \frac{\eta\sigma_d}{2}\right)^{2t}\right) \leq \|B_{T_0}\|_F^2 + O\left((m+n)d\varepsilon^2\right) + O\left(\eta d\sigma_1\sigma_d\right).$$

By taking $\varepsilon = O\left(\frac{\sigma_d}{\sqrt{\sigma_1(m+n)d}}\right)$ and $\eta = O\left(\frac{\sigma_d}{d\sigma_1^2}\right)$, induction on $||B||_F$ holds.

G Matrix sensing problem

We only consider full-rank case here, i.e. Σ is a $d \times d$ full-rank matrix, and we would like to factorize Σ into $U \times V^{\top}$, where $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

For a sufficiently large integer N, consider measurements $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_N \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ generated by i.i.d. Gaussian distribution. Define labels $y_i := \langle M_i, \Sigma \rangle$ for $i \in [N]$.

The objective function is defined as

$$f(U,V) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i \in [N]} (\langle M_i, UV^\top \rangle - y_i)^2,$$

which can be equivalently written as $\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \langle M_i, UV^\top - \Sigma \rangle^2$.

And the gradient descent with learning rate η can be written as

$$U_{t+1} = U_t - \frac{\eta}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \left\langle M_i, UV^{\top} - \Sigma \right\rangle M_i V;$$

$$V_{t+1} = V_t - \frac{\eta}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \left\langle M_i, UV^{\top} - \Sigma \right\rangle M_i^{\top} U.$$

G.1 Symmetrization

Suppose the SVD of Σ is $\Phi \Sigma' \Psi^{\top}$. Then if we replace the objective matrix by Σ' , replace the measurements by $\Phi^{\top}M_i\Psi$ and replace the initial parameter matrices by $\Phi^{\top}U$ and $\Psi^{\top}V$, then everything, including the objective function, the gradient descent process, the loss value, etc. are the same. Hence, we can assume, without loss of generality, Σ is a positive semi-definite matrix. (We could also check that the initialization and measurements are still i.i.d. Gaussian generated.)

To simplify the notation, we define a linear operator Λ : $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $\Lambda(X) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [N]} \langle M_i, X \rangle M_i$. A standard concentration analysis shows that when there are sufficiently large

number of measurements, then with large probability, Λ is sufficiently close to an identity operator,

with respect to operator norm. Define the error term $E : \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, E(X) = \Lambda(X) - X$. The error term E can be described by RIP.

Hence, the gradient process can now be written as

$$U_{t+1} = U_t - \eta (U_t V_t^\top - \Sigma) V_t - \eta E (U_t V_t^\top - \Sigma) V_t;$$

$$V_{t+1} = V_t - \eta (U_t V_t^\top - \Sigma)^\top U_t - \eta E (U_t V_t^\top - \Sigma)^\top U_t.$$

Hence, we can define $A_t = \frac{U_t + V_t}{2}$ and $B_t = \frac{U_t - V_t}{2}$. Then the iteration formula becomes

$$A_{t+1} = A_t + \eta(\Sigma - A_t A_t^{\top} + B_t B_t^{\top} - E_t^{+}) A_t - \eta(A_t B_t^{\top} - B_t A_t^{\top} - E_t^{-}) B_t;$$

$$B_{t+1} = B_t - \eta(\Sigma - A_t A_t^{\top} + B_t B_t^{\top} + E_t^{-}) B_t + \eta(A_t B_t^{\top} - B_t A_t^{\top} + E_t^{+}) A_t,$$

where $E_t^+ = \frac{E(U_t V_t^\top - \Sigma) + E(U_t V_t^\top - \Sigma)^\top}{2}$ and $E_t^- = \frac{E(U_t V_t^\top - \Sigma) - E(U_t V_t^\top - \Sigma)^\top}{2}$ are small matrices.

By lemma 3.2 we know that if B and $E^{+/-}$ is small, the minimal singular value of A is monotonically increasing. And similarly, we could define P and hopefully we could also use lemma 3.3 to prove that the minimal eigenvalue of P is not very small and hence the F-norm of B won't be too large.

Remark G.2. As for deep matrix factorization problem, there could be some similar techniques to handle it. For instance, if we would like to factorize Σ into 2m matrices $\prod_{i \in [2m]} U_i$, one naive idea is to first symmetrize Σ and then define $A_i = \frac{U_i + U_{2m-i}}{2}$ and $B_i = \frac{U_i - U_{2m-i}}{2}$ for $i \in [m]$. If we can find any monotonic value in these matrices (possibly the minimal singular values of A_i), it would guide us to the global convergence.