Α **Technical proofs**

A.1 Proof of Lemma 4.1.

Proof. Before we proceed with the main proof, we first introduce the following lemma in [7].

Lemma A.1. Let $x_1, ..., x_T$ be independent and identically drawn from distribution N(0, 1) and $X = (x_1, ..., x_T)^\top$ be a random vector. Suppose a function $f : \mathbb{R}^T \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz, i.e., for any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^T$, there exists L such that $|f(v_1) - f(v_2)| \le L ||v_1 - v_2||_2$, then we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{|f(X) - \mathbb{E}f(X)| > t\Big\} \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2L^2}\right)$$

for all t > 0.

We then proceed with the proof of Lemma 4.1. For any fixed $v \in \mathbb{R}^p$ with $||v||_2 = 1$, define

$$W = f_v(Z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left\| v^\top \max\left(\Sigma_{\text{col}}^{1/2} Z \right) \cdot A \right\|_2,$$

where $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{pm \times 1}$ and $mat(\cdot)$ is a reshape operator that reshape a *pm*-dimensional vector to a $p \times m$ dimensional matrix. When $Z \sim N(0, I_{pm})$, it is straightforward to see that the distribution of mat $(\Sigma_{col}^{1/2} Z)$ is the same as X and hence W^2 has the same distribution with $v^{\top} H v$. We then verify that the function f_v is Lipschitz with $L = \frac{\rho_0^2}{\sqrt{m}}$ where ρ_0 is defined in assumption (SC). For any vector Z_1, Z_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f_{v}(Z_{1}) - f_{v}(Z_{2}) \right| &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left| \left\| v^{\top} re\left(\Sigma_{\text{col}}^{1/2} Z_{1} \right) \cdot A \right\|_{2} - \left\| v^{\top} re\left(\Sigma_{\text{col}}^{1/2} Z_{2} \right) \cdot A \right\|_{2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left| v^{\top} re\left(\Sigma_{\text{col}}^{1/2} (Z_{1} - Z_{2}) \right) \cdot A \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \| v \|_{2} \left\| \Sigma_{\text{col}}^{1/2} (Z_{1} - Z_{2}) \right\|_{2} \cdot \|A\|_{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \| \Sigma_{\text{col}}^{1/2} \|_{2} \|Z_{1} - Z_{2}\|_{2} \cdot \|A\|_{2} \\ &= \frac{\rho_{0}^{2}}{\sqrt{m}} \|Z_{1} - Z_{2}\|_{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(A.1)

Using Lemma A.1, we have that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{|W - \mathbb{E}W| > t\Big\} \le 2\exp\Big(-\frac{t^2m}{2\rho_0^4}\Big).$$
(A.2)

Since $W \ge 0$ and hence $\mathbb{E}W \ge 0$, we have

$$\left[(\mathbb{E}W^2)^{1/2} - \mathbb{E}W \right]^2 \le \left[(\mathbb{E}W^2)^{1/2} + \mathbb{E}W \right] \cdot \left[(\mathbb{E}W^2)^{1/2} - \mathbb{E}W \right] = \operatorname{Var}(W).$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(W) = \mathbb{E}\left\{\left(W - \mathbb{E}W\right)^2\right\} = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left\{\left(W - \mathbb{E}W\right)^2 \ge t^2\right\} d(t^2) \le \int_0^\infty 2\exp\left(-\frac{t^2m}{2\rho_0^4}\right) d(t^2) = \frac{4\rho_0^4}{m}$$
and hence

$$(\mathbb{E}W^2)^{1/2} - \mathbb{E}W \le \frac{2\rho_0^2}{\sqrt{m}}.$$
 (A.3)

According to (A.3), we know that $|W - \mathbb{E}W| \le t$ implies $|W - (\mathbb{E}W^2)^{1/2}| \le t + 2\rho_0^2/\sqrt{m}$, which gives

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(|W - (\mathbb{E}W^2)^{1/2}| > t + 2\rho_0^2/\sqrt{m}\Big) \le \mathbb{P}\Big(|W - \mathbb{E}W| > t\Big) \le 2\exp\Big(-\frac{t^2m}{2\rho_0^4}\Big) \tag{A.4}$$

for any fixed $v \in \mathbb{R}^p$ with $||v||_2 = 1$. For large enough m, taking $t = \frac{1}{4}c_{\min}$ and apply union bound on 1/4-covering of $\mathbb{S}^{m-1} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid ||v||_2 = 1\}$ we complete the proof. The proof for upper bound is similar.

A.2 Proof of Lemma 4.3.

Proof. Before we proceed with the main proof, we first introduce the following lemma in [14].

Lemma A.2 (Lemma I.2 in [14]). Given a Gaussian random vector $Y \sim N(0, S)$ with $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$, for all $t > 2/\sqrt{m}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{m}\Big|\|Y\|_{2}^{2} - \operatorname{tr} S\Big| > 4t\|S\|_{2}\right] \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{m\left(t - \frac{2}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^{2}}{2}\right) + 2\exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right).$$
(A.5)

We then proceed with the proof of Lemma 4.3. Denote $q_j = x_j - M^* \sum_{k \in c_j} x_k \sim N(0, \Sigma_j)$ and denote $Q = [q_1, ..., q_m] \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$, we have $\mathbb{E} \frac{1}{m} Q Q^\top = G$ and

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(x_j - M^*\sum_{k\in c_j} x_k\right) \cdot \sum_{k\in c_j} x_k^{\top} = \frac{1}{m}Q \cdot \widetilde{X}.$$
(A.6)

For any fixed $v \in \mathbb{R}^p$ with $||v||_2 = 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{m}v^{\top}Q\widetilde{X}v = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m}v^{\top}q_{j}\cdot\widetilde{x}_{j}^{\top}v = \frac{1}{2m}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m}\langle v,q_{j}+\widetilde{x}_{j}\rangle^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{m}\langle v,q_{j}\rangle^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{m}\langle v,\widetilde{x}_{j}\rangle^{2}\right]$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}v^{\top}\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m}(q_{j}+\widetilde{x}_{j})(q_{j}+\widetilde{x}_{j})^{\top}\right)v - \frac{1}{2}v^{\top}\mathbb{E}(H+QQ^{\top})v}_{R_{1}}$$

$$- \underbrace{\left[\frac{1}{2}v^{\top}\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m}q_{j}q_{j}^{\top}\right)v - \frac{1}{2}v^{\top}\mathbb{E}QQ^{\top}\cdot v\right]}_{R_{2}} - \underbrace{\left[\frac{1}{2}v^{\top}\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\widetilde{x}_{j}\widetilde{x}_{j}^{\top}\right)v - \frac{1}{2}v^{\top}\mathbb{E}H\cdot v\right]}_{R_{3}}$$

$$= R_{1} - R_{2} - R_{3}.$$
(A.7)

Each R_j for j = 1, 2, 3 is a deviation term and can be bounded similarly. For R_3 , define the random vector $Y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with component $Y_j = v^{\top} \tilde{x}_j$. Using Lemma A.2 and together with assumption EC, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[|R_3| > 4t\sigma_{\max}\Big] \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{m\left(t-\frac{2}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^2}{2}\right) + 2\exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right).$$
(A.8)

Similarly, for R_1 and R_2 we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[|R_2| > 4t\eta_{\max}\Big] \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{m\left(t-\frac{2}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^2}{2}\right) + 2\exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right),\tag{A.9}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[|R_1| > 4t(\sigma_{\max} + \eta_{\max})\Big] \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{m\left(t - \frac{2}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^2}{2}\right) + 2\exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right).$$
(A.10)

Combine these three bounds, for fixed $v \in \mathbb{R}^p$ with $||v||_2 = 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\frac{1}{m}\Big|v^{\top}Q\widetilde{X}v\Big| > 8t(\sigma_{\max} + \eta_{\max})\Big] \le 6\exp\left(-\frac{m\left(t - \frac{2}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^2}{2}\right) + 6\exp\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right). \quad (A.11)$$

Setting $t = 4\sqrt{p/m}$ and taking the union bound on 1/4-covering of $\mathbb{S}^{m-1} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid ||v||_2 = 1\}$ completes the proof.

A.3 Proof of Lemma 4.4.

Proof. Since $M^{(0)}$ is the unconstrained minimizer of $\mathcal{L}(M)$, we have $\mathcal{L}(M^{(0)}) \leq \mathcal{L}(M^*)$. Since $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ is strongly convex, we have

$$0 \ge \mathcal{L}(M^{(0)}) - \mathcal{L}(M^*) \ge \langle \nabla \mathcal{L}(M^*), M^{(0)} - M^* \rangle + \frac{\kappa_{\mu}}{2} \|M^{(0)} - M^*\|_F^2.$$

We then have

$$\|M^{(0)} - M^*\|_F^2 \le -\frac{2}{\kappa_\mu} \langle \nabla \mathcal{L}(M^*), M^{(0)} - M^* \rangle \le \frac{2}{\kappa_\mu} \|\nabla \mathcal{L}(M^*)\|_F \cdot \|M^{(0)} - M^*\|_F,$$

and hence

$$\|M^{(0)} - M^*\|_F \le \frac{2}{\kappa_{\mu}} \|\nabla \mathcal{L}(M^*)\|_F \le \frac{2\sqrt{p\lambda}}{\kappa_{\mu}}$$

For large enough m, this error bound can be small and Lemma 2 in [28] gives

$$d^{2}(V^{(0)}, V^{*}) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2} - 1} \cdot \frac{\|M^{(0)} - M^{*}\|_{F}}{\sigma_{r}(M^{*})} \leq \frac{20p\lambda^{2}}{\kappa_{\mu}^{2} \cdot \sigma_{r}(M^{*})}.$$
 (A.12)

A.4 Proof of Theorem 4.5.

Proof. According to Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, the initialization $M^{(0)}$ satisfies $||M^{(0)} - M^*||_F \leq C$ as long as $m \geq 4C_0 p^2 / \kappa_{\mu}^2$. Furthermore, Lemma 4.1 shows that the objective function $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ is strongly convex and smooth. Therefore we apply Lemma 3 in [28] and obtain

$$d^{2}\left(V^{(t+1)}, V^{*}\right) \leq \left(1 - \eta \cdot \frac{2}{5}\mu_{\min}\sigma_{M}\right) \cdot d^{2}\left(V^{(t)}, V^{*}\right) + \eta \cdot \frac{\kappa_{L} + \kappa_{\mu}}{\kappa_{L} \cdot \kappa_{\mu}} \cdot e_{\text{stat}}^{2}, \tag{A.13}$$

where $\mu_{\min} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{\kappa_{\mu} \kappa_L}{\kappa_{\mu} + \kappa_L}$ and $\sigma_M = ||M^*||_2$. Define the contraction value

$$\beta = 1 - \eta \cdot \frac{2}{5} \mu_{\min} \sigma_M < 1, \tag{A.14}$$

we can iteratively apply (A.13) for each t = 1, 2, ..., T and obtain

$$d^{2}\left(V^{(T)}, V^{*}\right) \leq \beta^{T} d^{2}\left(V^{(0)}, V^{*}\right) + \frac{\eta}{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{\kappa_{L} + \kappa_{\mu}}{\kappa_{L} \cdot \kappa_{\mu}} \cdot e_{\text{stat}}^{2}, \tag{A.15}$$

which shows linear convergence up to statistical error. For large enough T, the final error is given by

$$\frac{\eta}{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{\kappa_L + \kappa_\mu}{\kappa_L \cdot \kappa_\mu} \cdot e_{\text{stat}}^2 = \frac{5}{2\mu_{\min}\sigma_M} \cdot \frac{\kappa_L + \kappa_\mu}{\kappa_L \cdot \kappa_\mu} \cdot e_{\text{stat}}^2$$
$$= \frac{20}{\sigma_M} \cdot \left(\frac{\kappa_L + \kappa_\mu}{\kappa_L \cdot \kappa_\mu}\right)^2 \cdot e_{\text{stat}}^2$$
$$\leq \frac{80}{\sigma_M} \cdot \frac{e_{\text{stat}}^2}{\kappa_\mu^2}.$$
(A.16)

Together with (4.6) we see that this gives exactly the same rate as the convex relaxation method (4.3). \Box