A Proof of Main Theorem

Theorem 1 Under Assumptions 1-6, for a randomly sampled \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} , with high probability

$$\left|L_{p}(\mathbf{W}_{p}\mathbf{F}_{m}\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})-L_{p}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\right)\right| \leq \frac{a(\sigma_{r}+\sigma_{p})n\sigma_{p}}{ct}\left(r+\sqrt{r^{2}+\frac{4\epsilon cB_{\mathbf{W}_{r}}B_{\mathbf{F}}r}{a(\sigma_{r}+\sigma_{p})n}}\right)+\frac{2\epsilon\sigma_{p}B_{\mathbf{W}_{r}}B_{\mathbf{F}}}{t}$$
(6)

Proof: From Assumption 4,

$$|L_p\left(\mathbf{W}_p\mathbf{F}_m\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}_p\right) - L_p\left(\mathbf{W}_p\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}_p\right)| \le \sigma_p \|\mathbf{W}_r\left(\mathbf{F}_m - \mathbf{F}\right)\mathbf{x}\|_2$$

The key to bounding this value for an arbitrary (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) is to first upper bound it in terms of the representative points, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\mathbf{W}_r(\mathbf{F}_m - \mathbf{F})\mathbf{b}_i\|_2$, and then provide an upper bound on this term with representative points.

Part 1: Upper bound in terms of representative points According to Assumption 5, for all x, w.h.p.

$$\|\mathbf{W}_{r}\left(\mathbf{F}_{m}-\mathbf{F}\right)\mathbf{x}\|_{2} = \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \mathbf{W}_{r}\left(\mathbf{F}_{m}-\mathbf{F}\right)\mathbf{b}_{j} + \mathbf{W}_{r}\left(\mathbf{F}_{m}-\mathbf{F}\right)\eta\right\|_{2}$$
(7)

Using Cauchy-Schwarz, we further obtain

$$(7) \leq \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j^2} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\mathbf{W}_r \left(\mathbf{F}_m - \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{b}_j\|_2^2} + \|\mathbf{W}_r \left(\mathbf{F}_m - \mathbf{F}\right)\|_2 \|\eta\|_2$$
$$\leq \sqrt{r} \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\mathbf{W}_r \left(\mathbf{F}_m - \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{b}_j\|_2^2} + \frac{2B_{\mathbf{W}_r} B_{\mathbf{F}} \epsilon}{t}$$
(8)

Part 2: Bounding $A = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left\| \mathbf{W}_{r} \left(\mathbf{F}_{m} - \mathbf{F} \right) \mathbf{b}_{j} \right\|_{2}^{2}}$

Let $B_{\rm L}(\mathbf{F}_m || \mathbf{F})$ denote the Bregman divergence,

$$B_{\rm L}(\mathbf{F}_m || \mathbf{F}) = L(\mathbf{F}_m) - L(\mathbf{F}) - \langle \mathbf{F}_m - \mathbf{F}, \nabla L(\mathbf{F}) \rangle$$
(9)

where the dot-product notation for the matrices corresponds to element-wise product and summation. We use the following two bounds, proved below.

$$B_N(\mathbf{F}_m||\mathbf{F}) \le B_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathbf{F}_m||\mathbf{F}) \tag{10}$$

$$B_N(\mathbf{F}||\mathbf{F}_m) \le B_{\mathbf{L}_m}(\mathbf{F}||\mathbf{F}_m) \tag{11}$$

$$B_{N_b}(\mathbf{F}_m||\mathbf{F}) + B_{N_b}(\mathbf{F}||\mathbf{F}_m) \le a[B_N(\mathbf{F}_m||\mathbf{F}) + B_N(\mathbf{F}||\mathbf{F}_m)]$$
(12)

Obtaining inequalities in (10) and (11) The first term comes from the fact that L - N is strictly convex. This is because the sum of strictly convex functions for N are a strict subset of sum of strictly convex functions for L. Since L - N is still strictly convex, it provides a valid potential for the Bregman divergence and gives

$$0 \le B_{\mathsf{L}-N}(\mathbf{F}_m || \mathbf{F}) = B_{\mathsf{L}}(\mathbf{F}_m || \mathbf{F}) - B_N(\mathbf{F}_m || \mathbf{F}) \implies B_{\mathsf{L}}(\mathbf{F}_m || \mathbf{F}) \ge B_N(\mathbf{F}_m || \mathbf{F}).$$

The same reasoning applies to $B_{L_m}(\mathbf{F}||\mathbf{F}_m) \ge B_N(\mathbf{F}||\mathbf{F}_m)$.

Obtaining inequality in (12) The second term follows from Assumption 6. Notice that the Bregman divergence for N_b and N simplifies as follows.

$$B_{N_{b}}(\mathbf{F}_{m}||\mathbf{F}) + B_{N_{b}}(\mathbf{F}||\mathbf{F}_{m}) = N_{b}(\mathbf{F}_{m}) - N_{b}(\mathbf{F}) - \langle \mathbf{F}_{m} - \mathbf{F}, \nabla N_{b}(\mathbf{F}) \rangle + N_{b}(\mathbf{F}) - N_{b}(\mathbf{F}_{m}) - \langle \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_{m}, \nabla N_{b}(\mathbf{F}_{m}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{F}_{m} - \mathbf{F}, \nabla N_{b}(\mathbf{F}_{m}) - \nabla N_{b}(\mathbf{F}) \rangle$$

By the definition of directional derivatives,

 $\langle \mathbf{F}_m - \mathbf{F}, \nabla N_b(\mathbf{F}) \rangle = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{N_b(\mathbf{F} + \alpha(\mathbf{F}_m - \mathbf{F})) - N_b(\mathbf{F})}{\alpha} = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{N_b((1 - \alpha)\mathbf{F} + \alpha\mathbf{F}_m) - N_b(\mathbf{F})}{\alpha}$ and so, because both limits exists,

$$\langle \mathbf{F}_{m} - \mathbf{F}, \nabla N_{b} (\mathbf{F}_{m}) - \nabla N_{b} (\mathbf{F}) \rangle$$

$$= -\langle \mathbf{F}_{m} - \mathbf{F}, \nabla N_{b} (\mathbf{F}) \rangle - \langle \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_{m}, \nabla N_{b} (\mathbf{F}_{m}) \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{\alpha \to 0^{+}} \left[\frac{N_{b}(\mathbf{F}) - N_{b}((1 - \alpha)\mathbf{F} + \alpha\mathbf{F}_{m})}{\alpha} + \frac{N_{b}(\mathbf{F}_{m}) - N_{b}((1 - \alpha)\mathbf{F}_{m} + \alpha\mathbf{F})}{\alpha} \right]$$

$$\leq \lim_{\alpha \to 0^{+}} a \left[\frac{N(\mathbf{F}) - N((1 - \alpha)\mathbf{F} + \alpha\mathbf{F}_{m})}{\alpha} + \frac{N(\mathbf{F}_{m}) - N((1 - \alpha)\mathbf{F}_{m} + \alpha\mathbf{F})}{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= a \langle \mathbf{F}_{m} - \mathbf{F}, \nabla N (\mathbf{F}_{m}) - \nabla N (\mathbf{F}) \rangle$$

$$= a \left[B_{N}(\mathbf{F}_{m} ||\mathbf{F}) + B_{N}(\mathbf{F}||\mathbf{F}_{m}) \right]$$

where the inequality follows from Assumption 6.

$$\begin{aligned} a \left[B_{L}(\mathbf{F}_{m} || \mathbf{F}) + B_{L_{m}}(\mathbf{F} || \mathbf{F}_{m}) \right] \\ &\geq B_{N_{b}}(\mathbf{F}_{m} || \mathbf{F}) + B_{N_{b}}(\mathbf{F} || \mathbf{F}_{m}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\langle \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_{m}, \mathbf{W}_{r}^{\top} \nabla L_{r} \left(\mathbf{W}_{r} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{b}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{r, b_{i}} \right) \mathbf{b}_{i}^{\top} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\langle \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_{m}, \mathbf{W}_{r}^{\top} \nabla L_{r} \left(\mathbf{W}_{r} \mathbf{F}_{m} \mathbf{b}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{r, b_{i}} \right) \mathbf{b}_{i}^{\top} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\langle \mathbf{W}_{r} \left(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_{m} \right) \mathbf{b}_{i}, \nabla L_{r} \left(\mathbf{W}_{r} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{b}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{r, b_{i}} \right) - \nabla L_{r} \left(\mathbf{W}_{r} \mathbf{F}_{m} \mathbf{b}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{r, b_{i}} \right) \right\rangle \\ &\geq \frac{c}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| \mathbf{W}_{r} \left(\mathbf{F}_{m} - \mathbf{F} \right) \mathbf{b}_{i} \|_{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality comes from the assumption that function L_r is *c*-strongly convex. Notice now that, because $\nabla L(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\nabla L_m(\mathbf{F}_m) = \mathbf{0}$

because
$$\nabla L(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{0}$$
 and $\nabla L_m(\mathbf{F}_m) = \mathbf{0}$

$$B_L(\mathbf{F}_m || \mathbf{F}) + B_{L_m}(\mathbf{F} || \mathbf{F}_m)$$

$$= L(\mathbf{F}_m) - L(\mathbf{F}) + L_m(\mathbf{F}) - L_m(\mathbf{F}_m)$$

$$= (L(\mathbf{F}_m) - L_m(\mathbf{F}_m)) + (L_m(\mathbf{F}) - L(\mathbf{F}))$$

$$= \frac{1}{t} \left[L_p(\mathbf{W}_p \mathbf{F}_m \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}_{p,m}) - L_p(\mathbf{W}_p \mathbf{F}_m \mathbf{x}'_m, \mathbf{y}'_{p,m}) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{t} \left[L_r(\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F}_m \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}_{r,m}) - L_r(\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F}_m \mathbf{x}'_m, \mathbf{y}'_{r,m}) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{t} \left[-L_p(\mathbf{W}_p \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}_{p,m}) + L_p(\mathbf{W}_p \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}'_m, \mathbf{y}'_{r,m}) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{t} \left[-L_r(\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}_{r,m}) + L_r(\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}'_m, \mathbf{y}'_{r,m}) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{t} \left[-L_r(\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}_{r,m}) + L_r(\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}'_m, \mathbf{y}'_{r,m}) \right]$$

Because L_r is σ_r -admissible by Assumption 2, we have

 $|L_r \left(\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F}_m \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}_{r,m} \right) - L_r \left(\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}_{r,m} \right)| \le \sigma_r \| \mathbf{W}_r \left(\mathbf{F}_m - \mathbf{F} \right) \mathbf{x}_m \|_2.$

We get a similar result for L_p , using Assumption 4, but with σ_p . Therefore, we can bound (13) above, and get

$$\frac{c}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\mathbf{W}_{r}\left(\mathbf{F}_{m}-\mathbf{F}\right)\mathbf{b}_{i}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{a(\sigma_{r}+\sigma_{p})}{t} \left[\|\mathbf{W}_{r}\left(\mathbf{F}_{m}-\mathbf{F}\right)\mathbf{x}_{m}\|_{2} + \|\mathbf{W}_{r}\left(\mathbf{F}_{m}-\mathbf{F}\right)\mathbf{x}_{m}'\|_{2}\right]$$
(14)

Putting it all together to get the upper bound on A From (14), we get

$$\frac{c}{n}A^{2} \leq \frac{2a(\sigma_{r} + \sigma_{p})}{t} \left(\sqrt{r}A + \frac{2B_{\mathbf{W}_{r}}B_{\mathbf{F}}\epsilon}{t}\right)$$
$$\implies A \leq \frac{a(\sigma_{r} + \sigma_{p})n}{ct} \left(\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{r + \frac{4\epsilon cB_{\mathbf{W}_{r}}B_{\mathbf{F}}}{a(\sigma_{r} + \sigma_{p})n}}\right)$$
(15)

Finally, therefore, again using (8),

$$\begin{split} &|L_{p}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\mathbf{F}_{m}\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}_{p}\right)-L_{p}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}_{p}\right)|\\ &\leq\sigma_{p}\|\mathbf{W}_{r}\left(\mathbf{F}_{m}-\mathbf{F}\right)\mathbf{x}\|_{2}\\ &\leq\sigma_{p}\sqrt{r}\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|\mathbf{W}_{r}\left(\mathbf{F}_{m}-\mathbf{F}\right)\mathbf{b}_{j}\|_{2}^{2}}+\sigma_{p}\frac{2B_{\mathbf{W}_{r}}B_{\mathbf{F}}\epsilon}{t}\\ &\leq\frac{a(\sigma_{r}+\sigma_{p})n\sigma_{p}}{ct}\left(r+\sqrt{r^{2}+\frac{4\epsilon cB_{\mathbf{W}_{r}}B_{\mathbf{F}}r}{a(\sigma_{r}+\sigma_{p})n}}\right)+\frac{2\epsilon\sigma_{p}B_{\mathbf{W}_{r}}B_{\mathbf{F}}}{t} \end{split}$$

B Examples of specific constants for the Main Theorem

Corollary 1 In Assumption 4, if $\mathbf{W}_p \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, $\mathbf{W}_r \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$, $d \ge k \ge m$, \mathbf{W}_r is full rank, L_p is σ -admissible, then for \mathbf{W}_r^{-1} the inverse matrix of the first k rows of \mathbf{W}_r , $\sigma_p = \sigma \|\mathbf{W}_p\|_F \|\mathbf{W}_r^{-1}\|_F$.

Proof: Since \mathbf{W}_r is full rank, we must have $\mathbf{W}_p = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{W}_r$, where the last d-k columns of \mathbf{A} are all zeros. Hence $\|\mathbf{W}_p(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_m)\mathbf{x}\|_2 \le \|\mathbf{A}\|_F \|\mathbf{W}_r(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_m)\mathbf{x}\|_2$. In the meanwhile, $\|\mathbf{W}_p\mathbf{W}_r^{-1}\|_F = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{W}_r\mathbf{W}_r^{-1}\|_F = \|\mathbf{A}\|_F$, where \mathbf{W}_r^{-1} is the inverse matrix of the first k rows of \mathbf{W}_r . Hence $\|\mathbf{A}\|_F \le \|\mathbf{W}_p\|_F \|\mathbf{W}_r^{-1}\|_F$. It implies $\sigma_p = \sigma \|\mathbf{W}_p\|_F \|\mathbf{W}_r^{-1}\|_F$, since

$$\begin{split} &|L_p\left(\mathbf{W}_p\mathbf{F}_m\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}_p\right) - L_p\left(\mathbf{W}_p\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}_p\right)| \\ &\leq \sigma \|\mathbf{W}_p(\mathbf{F}_m - \mathbf{F})\mathbf{x}\|_2 \\ &\leq \sigma \|\mathbf{W}_p\|_F \|\mathbf{W}_r^{-1}\|_F \|\mathbf{W}_r(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_m)\mathbf{x}\|_2. \end{split}$$

Corollary 2 For L_r the least-squares loss,

$$c=2$$
 and $\sigma_r=2B_{\mathbf{W}_r}B_{\mathbf{F}}B_{\mathbf{x}}+2B_{\mathbf{x}}$.

If L_p is

- 1. the least-squares loss, then $\sigma = 2B_{\mathbf{W}_{p}}B_{\mathbf{F}}B_{\mathbf{x}} + 2B_{\mathbf{y}}$
- 2. the cross-entropy, with $\mathbf{y}_p \in \{0,1\}^m$, then $\sigma = 2\sqrt{m}$
- 3. the cross-entropy, with $\mathbf{y}_p \in \{-1, 1\}^m$, then $\sigma = \sqrt{m}$.

Proof: For the least-squares loss L_r , we get c = 2 because

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{2}, \nabla L_{r}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{y}\right) - \nabla L_{r}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{y}\right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{2}, 2\left(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \right\rangle \\ &\geq 2 \|\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{2}\|_{2}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We get $\sigma_r = 2B_{\mathbf{W}_r}B_{\mathbf{F}}B_{\mathbf{x}} + 2B_{\mathbf{x}}$ because

$$\begin{aligned} &|L_r \left(\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_r \right) - L_r \left(\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F}_2 \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_r \right)| \\ &= \left| \|\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_r \|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F}_2 \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_r \|_2^2 \right| \\ &= \left| \left\langle \mathbf{W}_r \left(\mathbf{F}_1 - \mathbf{F}_2 \right) \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F}_2 \mathbf{x} - 2 \mathbf{y}_r \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{W}_r \left(\mathbf{F}_1 - \mathbf{F}_2 \right) \mathbf{x} \|_2 \|\mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{W}_r \mathbf{F}_2 \mathbf{x} - 2 \mathbf{y}_r \|_2 \\ &\leq (2B_{\mathbf{W}_r} B_{\mathbf{F}} B_{\mathbf{x}} + 2B_{\mathbf{x}}) \|\mathbf{W}_r \left(\mathbf{F}_1 - \mathbf{F}_2 \right) \mathbf{x} \|_2 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for L_p the least-squares loss, $\sigma = 2B_{\mathbf{W}_p}B_{\mathbf{F}}B_{\mathbf{x}} + 2B_{\mathbf{y}}$.

For the case where L_p is the cross-entropy loss, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z} &= \mathbf{W}_p \mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{z}' &= \mathbf{W}_p \mathbf{F}_2 \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{y}_p \end{aligned}$$

with \mathbf{a}_i denoting the *i*-th element of vector \mathbf{a} . When $\mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^m$,

$$\begin{split} |L_{p}\left(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y}\right) - L_{p}\left(\mathbf{z}',\mathbf{y}\right)| \\ &= \left|\sum_{i}^{m} \left[-\mathbf{y}_{i} \ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{-\mathbf{z}_{i}}} - (1 - \mathbf{y}_{i}) \ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}_{i}}}\right] + \mathbf{y}_{i} \ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{-\mathbf{z}'_{i}}} + (1 - \mathbf{y}_{i}) \ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}\right]\right| \\ &= \left|\sum_{i}^{m} \left[\mathbf{y}_{i}\left(\ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}_{i}}} + \ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}\right) + \ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}\right] + \ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}\right] \\ &+ \ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}} - \ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}\right] \\ &= \left|\sum_{i}^{m} \left[\mathbf{y}_{i} \ln \frac{\exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}{\exp^{\mathbf{z}_{i}}} + \ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}} - \ln \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}_{i}}}\right]\right| \\ &= \left|\sum_{i}^{m} \left[\mathbf{y}_{i}(\mathbf{z}'_{i} - \mathbf{z}_{i}) + \ln \frac{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}\right] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i}^{m} \left|\mathbf{y}_{i}\left(\mathbf{z}'_{i} - \mathbf{z}_{i}\right)\right| \\ &+ \sum_{i}^{m} \min \left(\left|\ln \frac{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}\right|, \left|\ln \frac{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}\right|\right) \\ &\leq \left\|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}'\right\|_{1} + \sum_{i}^{m} \min \left(\left|\ln \frac{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}\right|, \left|\ln \frac{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}\right|\right) \end{aligned}$$

To bound this second component, notice that if $\mathbf{z}_i' \leq \mathbf{z}_i$,

$$\frac{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}_i}}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_i}} - \frac{\exp^{\mathbf{z}_i}}{\exp^{\mathbf{z}'_i}} = \frac{\exp^{\mathbf{z}'_i} - \exp^{\mathbf{z}_i}}{\exp^{\mathbf{z}'_i} \left(1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}'_i}\right)} \le 0.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \ln \frac{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}_i}}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}_i'}} \right| &= \ln \frac{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}_i}}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{z}_i'}} \\ &\leq \ln \frac{\exp^{\mathbf{z}_i}}{\exp^{\mathbf{z}_i'}} = \left| \ln \frac{\exp^{\mathbf{z}_i}}{\exp^{\mathbf{z}_i'}} \right| = \left| \mathbf{z}_i - \mathbf{z}_i' \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$|L_p(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) - L_p(\mathbf{z}', \mathbf{y})| \le ||\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}'||_1 + \sum_i^m |\mathbf{z}_i - \mathbf{z}'_i|$$
$$= 2 ||\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}'||_1$$
$$\le 2\sqrt{m} ||\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}'||_2.$$

 $\geq 2\sqrt{m} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}'\|_2$ For $\mathbf{y}_p \in \{-1, 1\}^m$, similarly to the case where $\{0, 1\}^m$,

$$\left|\ln\frac{1+\exp^{\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{z}'_i}}{1+\exp^{\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{z}_i}}\right| \le |\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{z}'_i-\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{z}_i| \le |\mathbf{z}'_i-\mathbf{z}_i|,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{p}\left(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y}\right) - L_{p}\left(\mathbf{z}',\mathbf{y}\right)| \\ &= \left|\sum_{i}^{m} \left[\ln\frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{y}_{i}\mathbf{z}_{i}}} - \ln\frac{1}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{y}_{i}\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}\right]\right| \\ &= \left|\sum_{i}^{m} \left[\frac{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{y}_{i}\mathbf{z}'_{i}}}{1 + \exp^{\mathbf{y}_{i}\mathbf{z}_{i}}}\right]\right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i}^{m} \left|\left(\mathbf{z}'_{i} - \mathbf{z}_{i}\right)\right| \\ &\leq \left\|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}'\right\|_{1} \\ &\leq \sqrt{m} \left\|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}'\right\|_{2} \end{aligned}$$