

A Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 (Mass Transport Equation for Point Processes). *Let $\lambda(t) := \lambda(t|\mathcal{H}_{t-})$ be the conditional intensity function of the point process $N(t)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(x, t) := \mathbb{P}[N(t) = x|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]$ be its conditional probability mass function; then $\tilde{\phi}(x, t)$ satisfies the following differential-difference equation:*

$$\tilde{\phi}_t(x, t) := \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}(x, t)}{\partial t} = \begin{cases} -\lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x, t) + \lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x-1, t) & \text{if } x = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ -\lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x, t) & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Proof. For the simplicity of notation, we define a functional operator $\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}] = -\lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x, t) + \lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x-1, t)\mathbb{I}[x \geq 1],$$

where $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$ is an indicator function.

Our goal is to prove $\tilde{\phi}_t = \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}]$. For the simplicity of notation, we define the inner product [11] between functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ as the summation of the product of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, where $x \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(f, g) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} f(x)g(x)$$

To prove the equality $\tilde{\phi}_t = \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}]$, we will prove that the equality $(v, \tilde{\phi}_t) = (v, \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}])$ holds for any test function $v(x)$. Then the equality $\tilde{\phi}_t = \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}]$ follows from the famous Fundamental Lemma of Calculus of Variations [15]. To show the above equality, we start by computing $(v, \tilde{\phi}_t)$.

Computing $(v, \tilde{\phi}_t)$. According to the definition of expectation and the fact that $\tilde{\phi}(x, t)$ is the conditional probability mass, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[v(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}] = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} v(x)\mathbb{P}[N(t) = x|\mathcal{H}_{t-}] = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} v(x)\tilde{\phi}(x, t) = (v, \tilde{\phi}).$$

Taking the gradient with respect to t yields

$$\frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[v(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]}{\partial t} = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} v(x)\tilde{\phi}_t(x, t) = (v, \tilde{\phi}_t). \quad (8)$$

Next, we obtain another expression for $(v, \tilde{\phi}_t)$. First we show the following property of $dv(N(t))$

$$dv(N(t)) = (v(N(t)+1) - v(N(t)))dN(t) \quad (9)$$

In fact, from the definition of the differential operator d , we have the following property:

$$dv(N(t)) := v(N(t+dt)) - v(N(t)) = v(N(t) + dN(t)) - v(N(t))$$

Since $dN(t) = \{0, 1\}$, if $dN(t) = 0$, we have $dv(N(t)) = 0$; otherwise, we have $dv(N(t)) = v(N(t)+1) - v(N(t))$. For both cases, equation (9) holds.

Next, we integrate both sides of (9) on $[0, t]$ and express $v(N(t))$ as follows:

$$v(N(t)) = v(N(0)) + \int_0^t (v(N(s)+1) - v(N(s)))dN(s) \quad (10)$$

Given \mathcal{H}_{t-} , we take the conditional expectation of (10) and obtain the following expression:

$$\mathbb{E}[v(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}] = v(N(0)) + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t (v(N(s)+1) - v(N(s)))\lambda(s)ds \middle| \mathcal{H}_{t-}\right] \quad (11)$$

Now we differentiate both sides of (11) with respect to time t and obtain the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[v(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]}{\partial t} &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{t_0}^t (\mathcal{B}[v](N(s)))ds \middle| \mathcal{H}(t^-)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}[v](N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}] \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}[v](x(t))\tilde{\phi}(x, t) \\ &= (\mathcal{B}[v], \tilde{\phi}) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{B}[v]$ is another functional operator defines as

$$\mathcal{B}[v](N(t)) = (v(N(t) + 1) - v(N(t)))\lambda(t) \quad (13)$$

Since (12) and (8) are equivalent, we have:

$$(v, \tilde{\phi}_t) = (\mathcal{B}[v], \tilde{\phi})$$

Now we have finished the first part of the proof. In the second part, our goal is to move the operator \mathcal{B} from test function v to the conditional probability mass function ϕ and prove $(\mathcal{B}[v], \tilde{\phi}) = (v, \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}])$. We start by computing $(\mathcal{B}[v], \tilde{\phi})$ as follows.

Computing $(\mathcal{B}[v], \tilde{\phi})$. We define a new post-jump variable as $y = x + 1$, and conduct a *change of variable* from x to $y = x + 1$ in $(\mathcal{B}[v], \tilde{\phi})$. Specifically, we express $(\mathcal{B}[v], \tilde{\phi})$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (v(x+1) - v(x))\lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x, t) &= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} v(x+1)\lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x, t) - \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} v(x)\lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x, t) \\ &= \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} v(y)\lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(y-1, t) - \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} v(x)\lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Next, we use an indicator function and let the value of y to start from 0 in the first term of (14):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} v(y)\lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(y-1, t) &= \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} v(y)\lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(y-1, t)\mathbb{I}[y \geq 1] \\ &= (v(y), \lambda(t))\tilde{\phi}(y-1, t)\mathbb{I}[y \geq 1] \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now we substitute (15) back to (14) and obtain the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (v(x+1) - v(x))\lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x, t) &= (v(y), \lambda(t))\tilde{\phi}(y-1, t)\mathbb{I}[y \geq 1] - (v(x), \lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x, t)) \\ &= (v(x), \lambda(t))\tilde{\phi}(x-1, t)\mathbb{I}[x \geq 1] - (v(x), \lambda(t)\tilde{\phi}(x, t)) \\ &= (v, \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}]) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Hence, for an arbitrary function $v(x)$, we have shown the following equality:

$$(v, \tilde{\phi}_t) = (\mathcal{B}[v], \tilde{\phi}) = (v, \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}]).$$

This yields $\tilde{\phi}_t = \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}]$ and the proof is now complete. \square

B Proof of unbiasedness of the estimator for the probability mass function

We just need to show the following equality: $\phi(x, t) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{t-}}[\tilde{\phi}(x, t)]$. For the simplicity of notation, we define the inner product between functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ as $(f, g) := \sum_x f(x)g(x)$, where $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

First, according to the definition of expectation, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[f(N(t))] := (f, \phi)$$

Next, from the definition of conditional probability mass, $g(\mathcal{H}_{t-})$ can be expressed as

$$g(\mathcal{H}_{t-}) = \sum_x f(x)\tilde{\phi}(x, t) = (f, \tilde{\phi}) \quad (17)$$

Taking expectation to both sides of (17) yields

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{t-}}[g(\mathcal{H}_{t-})] = (f, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{t-}}[\tilde{\phi}])$$

Finally, since $\mathbb{E}[f(N(t))] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{t-}}[g(\mathcal{H}_{t-})]$, we have $(f, \tilde{\phi}) = (f, \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{t-}}[\tilde{\phi}])$, which holds for an arbitrary function f . Hence the equality $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}_{t-}}[\tilde{\phi}] = \phi$ follows from the Fundamental Lemma of Calculus of Variations [15].

C Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1. For time $t > 0$ and an arbitrary function f , we have:

$$\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[g(\mathcal{H}_{t-})] < \mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[f(N(t))] \quad (18)$$

Proof. The proof contains two steps. We first compute the expected value of the conditional variance $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]]$, and next compute the variance of the conditional expected value $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[g(\mathcal{H}_{t-})]$.

(i) *Expected value of the conditional variance.* Since $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]$ is a random variable, we can compute its expected value. Using the definition of variance, i.e., $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}] = \mathbb{E}[f(N(t))^2|\mathcal{H}_{t-}] - [\mathbb{E}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]]^2$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[f(N(t))^2|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]^2] \quad (19)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[f(N(t))^2] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]^2] \quad (20)$$

(ii) *Variance of the conditional expected value.* We express $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[g(\mathcal{H}_{t-})]$ as follows

$$\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[g(\mathcal{H}_{t-})] = \mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{E}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]] \quad (21)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]^2] - [\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]]]^2 \quad (22)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]^2] - \mathbb{E}[f(N(t))]^2 \quad (23)$$

Combining (20) and (23) yields the following equation:

$$\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[g(\mathcal{H}_{t-})] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]] = \mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[N(t)]$$

Next, we show that the inequality in our theorem is strict. According to the definition of counting process, we have $N(0) = 0$. Moreover, we are only interested in the scenarios where the number of events are positive, i.e., $N(t) > 0$ for future time $t > 0$. Since the point process $N(t)$ is right continuous and not a predictable process [4], we obtain the fact that conditioning on \mathcal{H}_{t-} , there is a stochastic jump at time t and the value of $f(N(t))$ is random and not a constant. Hence the conditional variance $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]$ is positive and we have $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{V}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{R}[f(N(t))|\mathcal{H}_{t-}]] > 0$. The proof is now complete. \square

D Details on the Runge-Kutta (RK) method

We present details of the RK method. For the simplicity of notation, we set $\tilde{\phi}'(t) = \mathbf{f}(\tilde{\phi}, t) = \mathbf{Q}(t)\tilde{\phi}(t)$.

The RK method divides the interval $[t_k, t_{k+1}]$ into intervals $[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]$, for $i = 0, \dots, I$, with $\Delta\tau = \tau_{i+1} - \tau_i$. This method conducts linear extrapolation on contiguous subintervals $[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]$. Specifically, it starts from $\tau_0 := t_k$, and within $[\tau_0, \tau_1]$ the RK method of *stage* s computes $\mathbf{y}_m = \mathbf{f}(\tilde{\phi}_m, \tau_0 + \Delta\tau c_m)$ at s recursively defined input locations, for $m = 1, \dots, s$, where $\tilde{\phi}_m$ is computed as a linear combination of previous $\mathbf{y}_{n < m}$ as $\tilde{\phi}_m = \tilde{\phi}_0 + \Delta\tau \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} w_{mn} \mathbf{y}_n$. Then, it returns the prediction for the solution at τ_1 as $\tilde{\phi}(\tau_0 + \Delta\tau)$. In the compact form,

$$\mathbf{y}_m = \mathbf{f}\left(\tilde{\phi}_0 + \Delta\tau \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} w_{mn} \mathbf{y}_n, \tau_0 + \Delta\tau c_m\right), \quad m = 1, \dots, s, \quad \tilde{\phi}(\tau_0 + \Delta\tau) = \tilde{\phi}_0 + \Delta\tau \sum_{m=1}^s b_m \mathbf{y}_m$$

Next, $\tilde{\phi}(\tau_0 + \Delta\tau)$ is taken as the initial value for $\tau_1 = \tau_0 + \Delta\tau$ and the process is repeated until $\tau_I := t_{k+1}$. Note that RK outputs the conditional probability mass at all timestamps $\{\tau_i\}$; hence it captures the mass transport on $[t_k, t_{k+1}]$.

The main computation in RK is the matrix-vector product. Since the matrix $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ is sparse and bi-diagonal with $O(M)$ non-zero elements, the cost for this operation is only $O(M)$.