

A Fast low-rank approximation of AA^T

We give an algorithm which matches the lower bound of Theorem 3.

Theorem 10. *There is an algorithm, which given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ computes $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ in $O(\text{nnz}(A)k) + n \cdot \text{poly}(k/\epsilon)$ time such that probability 99/100:*

$$\|AA^T - NN^T\|_F^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|AA^T - (AA^T)_k\|_F^2.$$

Proof. It is known (see Lemma 11 of [CW17]) that there exists a distribution over random matrices $R, S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times O(k/\epsilon)}$ which can be applied to A in $O(\text{nnz}(A)) + n \cdot \text{poly}(k/\epsilon)$ time such that with probability 199/200, setting

$$Y^* = \arg \min_{Y \in O(k/\epsilon) \times O(k/\epsilon) \text{ with rank } k} \|AA^T RY S^T AA^T - AA^T\|_F^2$$

we have:

$$\|AA^T RY^* S^T AA^T - AA^T\|_F^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|AA^T - (AA^T)_k\|_F^2.$$

We can solve for an approximately optimal \tilde{Y} by further sketching our problem on the left and right (similar to the technique used in Lemma 15 of [CW17]). Specifically, if we let $T_L, T_R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \text{poly}(k/\epsilon)}$ be drawn from the Count Sketch distribution, we can solve:

$$\tilde{Y} = \arg \min_{Y \in O(k/\epsilon) \times O(k/\epsilon) \text{ with rank } k} \|T_L^T AA^T RY S^T AA^T T_R - T_L^T AA^T T_R\|_F^2$$

and are guaranteed that with probability 99/100,

$$\|AA^T R\tilde{Y} S^T AA^T - AA^T\|_F^2 \leq (1 + 2\epsilon)\|AA^T - (AA^T)_k\|_F^2. \quad (11)$$

Computing \tilde{Y} requires forming $T_L^T A$, $A^T R$, $S^T A$, and $A^T T_R$ and then multiplying the appropriate matrices together. This takes $O(\text{nnz}(A)) + n \cdot \text{poly}(k/\epsilon)$ time. Once $T_L^T AA^T R$, $S^T AA^T T_R$ and $T_L^T AA^T T_R$ have been formed we can solve for \tilde{Y} in $\text{poly}(k/\epsilon)$ time using the formula of [FT07].

Finally, since \tilde{Y} is rank- k we can factor $\tilde{Y} = VV^T$ for $V \in \mathbb{R}^{O(k/\epsilon) \times k}$ using the SVD. We can then compute $N_1 = AA^T R V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ and $N_2 = AA^T S V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ which satisfy $\|AA^T - N_1 N_2^T\|_F^2 \leq (1 + 2\epsilon)\|AA^T - (AA^T)_k\|_F^2$ with probability 99/100 by (11).

N_1 and N_2 both require $O(\text{nnz}(A)k) + n \cdot \text{poly}(k/\epsilon)$ time to compute. The theorem follows from adjusting constants on ϵ and noting that we can symmetrize $N_1 N_2^T$ to form NN^T if desired in $n \cdot \text{poly}(k/\epsilon)$ time. □

B Hardness of outputting a low-rank subspace

Theorem 3 shows a lower bound on outputting a relative-error low-rank approximation to MM^T . Here we show that this hardness extends to the possibly easier problem of just outputting a low-rank span that contains a relative-error low-rank approximation. This result extends analogously to the other kernel lower bounds discussed in Section 2.

Theorem 11 (Hardness of low-rank span for MM^T). *Assume there is an algorithm \mathcal{A} which given any $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ returns orthonormal $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ such that $\|MM^T - ZZ^T MM^T\|_F^2 \leq \Delta_1 \|MM^T - (MM^T)_k\|_F^2$ in $T(M, k)$ time for some approximation factor Δ_1 .*

For any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ each with integer entries in $[-\Delta_2, \Delta_2]$, let $B = [A^T, wC]^T$ where $w = 3\sqrt{\Delta_1} \Delta_2^2 n d$. It is possible to compute the product AC in time $T(B, 2k) + \tilde{O}((n + d)k^{\omega-1})$.

Proof. $ZZ^T MM^T$ is the projection of M onto the column span of Z . This projection can be performed approximately using standard leverage score sampling techniques (see e.g., [CW13]). Let $S \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$ be a sampling matrix sampling rows of Z by its row norms (its leverage scores since

it is orthonormal) where $s = c(k \log k)$ or some sufficiently large constant c . Let R be the $n \times k$ matrix which selects the last k columns of MM^T .

Letting $X^* = \arg \min_{X \in k \times k} \|ZX^T - MM^T R\|_F^2$ and $X = \arg \min_{X \in k \times k} \|SZX^T - SMM^T R\|_F^2$ we have by a well known leverage score approximate regression result with high probability in k :

$$\begin{aligned} \|ZX^T - MM^T R\|_F^2 &= O(1) \cdot \|Z(X^*)^T - MM^T R\|_F^2 \\ &= O(1) \cdot \|ZZ^T MM^T R - MM^T R\|_F^2 \\ &= O(\Delta_1) \|MM^T - (MM^T)_k\|_F^2. \end{aligned}$$

Further, computing X requires $\tilde{O}(dk^{\omega-1})$ time to compute the $O(k \log k) \times k$ submatrix $SMM^T R$ as well as $\tilde{O}(k^\omega) = \tilde{O}(nk^{\omega-1})$ to perform the regression. This gives the result via Theorem 3 since computing Z with rank- $2k$ ZX^T gives a low-rank approximation of MM^T with error $O(\Delta_1) \|MM^T - (MM^T)_{2k}\|_F^2$ measured on the last k columns of M . Small error on these columns is all that is needed to recover AC accurately (see proof of Theorem 3). \square

C Additional lower bound proofs

We now prove our hardness result for kernels depending on the squared distance $\|a_i - a_j\|_2^2$.

Theorem 5. Consider any kernel function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\psi(a_i, a_j) = f(\|a_i - a_j\|_2^2)$ for some function f which can be expanded as $f(x) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} c_q x^q$ with $c_1 \neq 0$ and $|c_q/c_1| \leq G^{q-1}$ and for all $q \geq 2$ and some $G \geq 1$.

Assume there is an algorithm \mathcal{A} which given input $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ with kernel matrix $K = \{\psi(m_i, m_j)\}$, returns $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ satisfying $\|K - NN^T\|_F^2 \leq \Delta_1 \|K - K_k\|$ in $T(M, k)$ time.

For any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$, with integer entries in $[-\Delta_2, \Delta_2]$, let $B = [w_1 A^T, w_2 C]^T$ where $w_1 = \frac{w_2}{36\sqrt{\Delta_1} \Delta_2^2 n d}$ and $w_2 = \frac{1}{(16Gd^2 \Delta_2^4)(36\sqrt{\Delta_1} \Delta_2^2 n d)}$. It is possible to compute AC in time $T(B, 2k+3) + O(nk^{\omega-1})$.

Proof. Define the distance matrix $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n+k \times n+k}$ with $D_{i,j} = \|b_i - b_j\|_2^2$. Using the fact that $\|b_i - b_j\|_2^2 = \|b_i\|_2^2 + \|b_j\|_2^2 - 2b_i^T b_j$ we have $D = E + E^T - 2BB^T$ where E is a rank-1 matrix with all rows equal to $[\|b_1\|_2^2, \dots, \|b_{n+k}\|_2^2]$. We can write the kernel matrix for B and k as:

$$K = c_0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_1(E + E^T) - 2c_1 \begin{bmatrix} w_1^2 A A^T & w_1 w_2 A C \\ w_1 w_2 C^T A^T & w_2^2 C^T C \end{bmatrix} + c_2 D^{(2)} + c_3 D^{(3)} + \dots \quad (12)$$

where $D_{i,j}^{(q)} = \|b_i - b_j\|_2^{2q}$. Let \bar{K} be $K - c_0 \cdot 1 - c_1(E + E^T)$, with its top $n \times n$ block set to 0. \bar{K} has rank at most $2k$ and if we set $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2k+3}$ to be a matrix with columns spanning the columns of \bar{K} , the all ones vector, E and E^T , then letting N be the result of running \mathcal{A} on B with rank $2k+3$:

$$\|K - NN^T\|_F^2 \leq \Delta_1 \|K - QQ^T K\|_F^2 \leq \Delta_1 \left\| \begin{bmatrix} -2c_1 w_1^2 A A^T + c_2 \hat{D}^{(2)} + \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_F^2 \quad (13)$$

where $\hat{D}^{(q)}$ denotes the top left $n \times n$ submatrix of $D^{(q)}$.

By our bounds on the entries of A , for $i, j \leq n$, $\|b_i - b_j\|_2^2 \leq 4d\Delta_2^2 w_1^2$ and by our setting of w_1, w_2 , plugging into (13) we have for all i, j :

$$|(K - NN^T)_{i,j}| \leq \|K - NN^T\|_F \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sqrt{\Delta_1} n \left(2c_1 d \Delta_2^2 w_1^2 + \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} c_q (4d \Delta_2^2 w_1^2)^q \right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\Delta_1} n c_1 d \Delta_2^2 w_1^2 \left(2 + \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} (4Gd \Delta_2^2 w_1^2)^{q-1} \right) \quad (\text{Since } |c_q/c_1| \leq G^{q-1}) \\ &\leq 3\sqrt{\Delta_1} n c_1 d \Delta_2^2 w_1^2 \leq \frac{w_1 w_2 c_1}{12} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where the second to last bound follows from the fact that $w_1 < w_2$ and w_2 is set small enough so $(4Gd\Delta_2^2) \cdot w_2^2 \ll 1/2$ so the series converges to a sum < 1 . Additionally, for $i \leq n$ and $j \leq k$ (i.e., considering the entries of K corresponding to AC) we have:

$$K_{i,n+j} = c_0 + c_1(E + E^T)_{i,n+j} - 2c_1w_1w_2(AC)_{i,j} + \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} c_q D_{i,n+j}^{(q)}.$$

This last sum can be bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} c_q D_{i,n+j}^{(q)} \right| &\leq c_1 \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} G^{q-1} (4\Delta_2^2 d w_2^2)^q && \text{(By assumption } |c_q/c_1| \leq G^{q-1}\text{)} \\ &\leq c_1 w_1 w_2 \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} G^{q-1} w_2^{2(q-1)} \frac{w_2}{w_1} (4\Delta_2^2 d)^q \\ &\leq c_1 w_1 w_2 \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} G^{q-1} w_2^{2q-3} (4\Delta_2^2 d)^q && \text{(Using } \frac{w_2}{w_1} \leq \frac{1}{w_2}\text{.)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} c_1 w_1 w_2. && \text{(Using } w_2 \leq \frac{1/4}{16G\Delta_2^4 d^2}\text{ so the series converges.)} \end{aligned}$$

If we set $v = NN_{i,n+j}^T - c_0 - c_1(E + E^T)_{i,n+j}$ we thus have combining with (14) for $i \leq n, j \leq k$

$$|v + 2c_1w_1w_2(AC)_{i,j}| \leq \frac{5c_1w_1w_2}{12}$$

and so we can compute $(AC)_{i,j}$ exactly by rounding v to the nearest integer multiple of $c_1w_1w_2$. This gives the theorem since we can compute the required entries of NN^T and E in $O(nk^{\omega-1})$ time. \square