Supplementary Material for: Learning Halfspaces with the Zero-One Loss: Time-Accuracy Tradeoffs

A   Additional Proofs

A.1   Proof of Lemma 4

By definition of β we have that $p(\gamma) = p(1) = 1$ therefore $p(z) \geq 1$ for all $z \in [\gamma, 1]$. The maxima of our polynomial in $[\gamma, 1]$ is attained at $z_{\max} = \sqrt{\frac{a_1}{-3a_3}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}[1 + \gamma + \gamma^2]} \in \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1+\gamma}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$ and its value is

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(z_{\max}) &= \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}\right) z_{\max} - \frac{1}{\gamma(1+\gamma)} z_{\max}^3 \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}\right) \frac{1+\gamma}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{\gamma(1+\gamma)} \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\gamma(1+\gamma)\sqrt{3}} \left[(1 + \gamma + \gamma^2)(1+\gamma) - \frac{1}{3}\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\gamma(1+\gamma)\sqrt{3}} \left[\frac{2}{3} + 2(\gamma + \gamma^2) + \gamma^3\right] \\
&\leq \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the 1-norm is $\|\beta\|_1 = \frac{2 + \gamma + \gamma^2}{\gamma(1+\gamma)} < \frac{2}{\gamma} + 1$.

A.2   Proof of Lemma 7

We will take $p(z) = \alpha \operatorname{erf}(c\tau z)$, where erf is the error function and $c = \alpha \operatorname{erf}^{-1}(1/\alpha)$. By a standard fact, erf is equal to its infinite Taylor series expansion at any point, and this series equals

$$
\operatorname{erf}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n z^{2n+1}}{n!(2n+1)}.
$$

Hence, $p(z)$ is an infinite degree polynomial, and it is only left to verify that the properties stated in the lemma holds for it. Indeed, p is an odd polynomial and $|p(z)| \leq \alpha$ for all z. In addition,

$$
p(\gamma) = \alpha \operatorname{erf}(\tfrac{c}{\alpha\gamma}\gamma) = \alpha \operatorname{erf}(c/\alpha) = 1 \,.
$$

10

Since p is monotonically increasing we conclude that $p(z) \geq 1$ for $z \geq \gamma$. Finally, we calculate $\sum_j \beta_j^2 2^j$. Since $c \leq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \beta_j^2 2^j = \frac{4\,\alpha^2}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(c\tau)^{2j+1}}{j!(2j+1)} \right)^2 2^{2j+1}$$

$$\leq \frac{8\,\alpha^2 \tau^2}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau^{2j}}{j!(2j+1)} \right)^2 2^{2j}$$

$$= \frac{8}{\pi\,\gamma^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^2} \left(\frac{(2\tau^2)^j}{j!} \right)^2$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{\pi^2\,\gamma^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j(2j+1)^2} \left(\frac{2e\tau^2}{j} \right)^{2j} \qquad \text{Using Stirling's formula}$$

$$\leq \frac{4}{\pi^2\,\gamma^2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j(2j+1)^2} \right) \cdot \max_j \left(\frac{2e\tau^2}{j} \right)^{2j}$$

$$< \frac{0.06}{\gamma^2} e^{4\tau^2},$$

where in the last inequality we used the facts that $\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{j(2j+1)^2} = \frac{16 - \pi^2 - 4\log 4}{4} < 0.06\frac{\pi^2}{4}$ and that $\max_j (2e\tau^2/j)^{2j} \leq e^{4\tau^2}$. Finally, $\beta_1^2 2^1 \leq \frac{8}{\pi\gamma^2} < \frac{3}{\gamma^2}$, hence we conclude our proof.

A.3   Proof of Lemma 8

Let $\phi_{\mathrm{sig}}(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-4L\,z)}$. [Shalev-Shwartz et al., 2011, Lemma 2.5] proved the following. For any $L \geq 3$ and $\epsilon' \in (0,1)$, there exists an odd polynomial, $g(z) = \sum_j \beta_j z^j$, such that for all $z \in [-1,1]$ we have $|g(z) - \phi_{\mathrm{sig}}(z)| \leq \epsilon'$ and with

$$\sum_j \beta_j^2 2^j \leq 6L^4 + \exp \left(9L \log \left(\frac{2L}{\epsilon'} \right) + 5 \right).$$

Fix some $\kappa > 2$ to be specified later. Let $\bar{\phi}(z) = 2\kappa(\phi_{\mathrm{sig}}(z) - 1/2)$. It follows that the polynomial $p(z) = 2\kappa(g(z) - 1/2)$ satisfies

$$|p(z) - \bar{\phi}(z)| = 2\kappa|g(z) - \phi_{\mathrm{sig}}(z)| \leq 2\kappa\epsilon'.$$

Fix some ϵ to be also specified later, let $\epsilon' = \epsilon/(2\kappa)$, and choose $L = \frac{1}{4\gamma} \log \left(\frac{\kappa+1}{\kappa-1} \right)$. By construction,

$$\bar{\phi}(\gamma) = 2\kappa(\phi_{\mathrm{sig}}(\gamma) - 1/2) = 2\kappa \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa+1}} - 1/2 \right) = 2\kappa \left(\frac{\kappa+1}{2\kappa} - \frac{\kappa}{2\kappa} \right) = 1.$$

Therefore, for $z \geq \gamma$,

$$p(z) \geq \bar{\phi}(z) - \epsilon \geq \bar{\phi}(\gamma) - \epsilon = 1 - \epsilon.$$

In addition, for all z, $p(z) \leq \bar{\phi}(z) + \epsilon \leq \kappa + \epsilon$. Define $h(z) = p(z)/(1 - \epsilon)$. So, for $z \geq \gamma$, $h(z) \geq 1$, and for any other z, $h(z) \leq \frac{\kappa+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$.

Using the inequality

$$\forall \kappa > 2, \quad \log \left(\frac{\kappa+1}{\kappa-1} \right) = \log \left(1 + \frac{2}{\kappa-1} \right) \leq \frac{2}{\kappa-1} \leq \frac{4}{\kappa}$$

we obtain that $L \leq \frac{1}{\gamma\kappa}$.

Now, lets specify κ, ϵ. First, choose $\epsilon = 1/\kappa$. Second, choose κ so that $\alpha = \frac{\kappa+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} = \frac{\kappa^2+1}{\kappa-1}$.

11

Assume that $\kappa > 2.5$, we have that $1/\kappa \leq 2/\alpha$. Hence, $L \leq \frac{2}{\gamma\alpha} = 2\tau$, which yields the bound,

$$B \leq \left(\frac{2\kappa}{1-\epsilon}\right)^2 \left(6L^4 + \exp\left(9L\log\left(\frac{2L}{\epsilon'}\right) + 5\right)\right)$$
$$\leq 4\alpha^2 \left(96\tau^2 + \exp\left(18\tau\log\left(8\tau\alpha^2\right) + 5\right)\right) \ .$$

Finally, the assumptions on α and γ imply that $\kappa > 2.5$ and that $L \geq 3$ as required.

12