

306 A Supplementary material: missing proofs

307 A.1 Proof of Lemma 5

308 *Proof.* From the Davis-Kahan sin Θ theorem, $\|\widehat{\Pi} - \Pi\|_2 \leq 2\|E\|_2/\Delta_k$ therefore $\|\widehat{\Pi} - \Pi\|_F \leq$
 309 $2\sqrt{k}\|E\|_2/\Delta_k$. This implies that $\widehat{\Pi} = \Pi + E'$, where $E' \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $\|E'\|_F \leq 2\sqrt{k}\|E\|_2/\Delta_k$.
 310 Then

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{A}_k - A_k &= \widehat{\Pi}(A + E) - A_k \\ &= (\Pi + E')(A + E) - A_k \\ &= \Pi E + E' A + E' E\end{aligned}$$

311 Third equation is from the fact that $\Pi A = A_k$. Thus the H in the statement can be set to $E' A + E' E$.
 312 Let us bound its norm. We have $\|E' A\|_F \leq \|E'\|_F \|A\|_2 = \lambda_1 \|E'\|_F$.³ The next term can similarly
 313 be bounded by $\|E'\|_F \|E\|_2$. Combining these implies the claim. \square

314 A.2 Proof of Lemma 7

315 *Proof.* The proof requires relating A_k , because it is easier to obtain a bound on $\left\| \|\widehat{A}_k - A_k\|_F \right\|_{\psi_1}$.

316 Let us write $\widehat{A}_k - A^*$ as $(\widehat{A}_k - A_k) + (A_k - A^*)$. As in the proof of Lemma 5,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widehat{A}_k - A_k\|_F &= \|\widehat{\Pi}(A + E) - \Pi A\|_F \\ &\leq \|(\widehat{\Pi} - \Pi)A\|_F + \|\widehat{\Pi}E\|_F \\ &\leq \|\widehat{\Pi} - \Pi\|_F \lambda_1 + \sqrt{k}\|E\|_2 \leq \frac{2\sqrt{k}\lambda_1\|E\|_2}{\Delta_k} + \sqrt{k}\|E\|_2 \leq \frac{3\sqrt{k}\lambda_1\|E\|_2}{\Delta_k}.\end{aligned}$$

317 Now for the second term, using triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\|A^* - A_k\|_F &= \|\mathbb{E}[\widehat{A}_k - A_k]\|_F \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\widehat{A}_k - A_k\|_F] \\ &\leq \left\| \|\widehat{A}_k - A_k\|_F \right\|_{\psi_1} \leq \frac{3\sqrt{k}\lambda_1 \left\| \|E\|_2 \right\|_{\psi_1}}{\Delta_k}.\end{aligned}$$

318 Thus we have

$$\left\| \|\widehat{A}_k - A^*\|_F \right\|_{\psi_1} \leq \left\| \|\widehat{A}_k - A_k\|_F \right\|_{\psi_1} + \|A^* - A_k\|_F \leq \frac{6\sqrt{k}\lambda_1 \left\| \|E\|_2 \right\|_{\psi_1}}{\Delta_k}.$$

319 Using Lemma 3 from [6] now completes the proof. \square

320 A.3 Proof of Theorem 8

Proof.

$$\|\widetilde{A}_k - A^*\|_F = \left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{A}_k^{(i)} - A^* \right\|_F$$

321 $\widehat{A}_k^{(i)} - A^* \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. Let us define Y_i as a \mathbb{R}^{d^2} vector which is equal to the flattened $\widehat{A}_k^{(i)} - A^*$ matrix.
 322 Now $\left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{A}_k^{(i)} - A^* \right\|_F$. $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = 0$ and $\left\| \|Y_i\| \right\|_{\psi_1} \leq C_1 \frac{\lambda_1}{\Delta_k} \sqrt{\frac{k\lambda_1 \text{Tr}(A)}{n}}$ for a
 323 constant C_1 . Using Lemma 4 in [6] (which is a consequence of Theorem 2.5 of [3]), for a constant

³For any matrices X, Y , $\|XY\|_F \leq \|X\|_F \|Y\|_2$. (This is easy to show, by observing how Y acts on the rows of X .)

324 C_2

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i \right\| \right\|_{\psi_1} &= \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{Y_i}{m} \right\| \right\|_{\psi_1} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{m^2} C_1^2 \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\Delta_k^2} \cdot \frac{k\lambda_1 \text{Tr}(A)}{n}} \\ &\leq C_2 \frac{\lambda_1}{\Delta_k} \sqrt{\frac{k\lambda_1 \text{Tr}(A)}{mn}} \end{aligned}$$

325 This completes the proof. \square

326 A.4 Proof of Theorem 9

327 *Proof.* Let us define $\tilde{B}_k = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in [m]} \hat{A}^{(i)} - \hat{A}_k^{(i)}$. By definition, $\tilde{B}_k = \tilde{A} - \tilde{A}_k$, where \tilde{A} is simply
328 $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in [m]} \hat{A}^{(i)}$. We start by showing some basic properties about \tilde{A} , \tilde{A}_k and \tilde{B}_k .

329 First, note that \tilde{A} is the empirical average (over m machines) of $\hat{A}^{(i)}$, and each such matrix is the
330 empirical average (over n) samples of xx^T . Since samples across and within machines are all i.i.d.,
331 the difference $A - \tilde{A}$ is simply the error in the estimate of A using mn i.i.d. samples $x \sim \mathcal{D}$. Thus,
332 using Lemma 3 of [6], we have

$$\left\| \|A - \tilde{A}\|_2 \right\|_{\psi_1} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1 \text{Tr}(A)}{mn}}. \quad (5)$$

333 From Theorem 1, we have that for any $\delta > 0$, with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\|A_k - \tilde{A}_k\|_F \leq \left(\frac{\kappa_1}{n} + \frac{\kappa_2}{\sqrt{mn}} \right) \log(1/\delta). \quad (6)$$

334 Let Π denote the projection matrix onto the span of the top k SVD directions of A , and $\Pi^\perp = I - \Pi$.
335 We will also denote $\kappa = \frac{\kappa_1}{n} + \frac{\kappa_2}{\sqrt{mn}}$, for convenience.

336 Next, we claim that $\|\Pi \tilde{B}_k\|$ is $O(\kappa \log(1/\delta))$ with high probability. To see this, write $\tilde{B}_k = \tilde{A} - \tilde{A}_k =$
337 $(A - A_k) + (A_k - \tilde{A}_k) - (A - \tilde{A})$. Now, $\Pi(A - A_k) = 0$, by definition. Thus, using (6) and (5),
338 the claim follows.

339 Note that our goal is not to reason about the eigenvalues of \tilde{A}_k , but the eigenvalues of \tilde{A}_t , where
340 $t \geq k$. To this end, we define $B' = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in [m]} \left(\hat{A}_t^{(i)} - \hat{A}_k^{(i)} \right)$. By definition, we have $B' = \tilde{A}_t - \tilde{A}_k$.

341 Now, let us relate B' and \tilde{B}_k . Note that for any machine, $\hat{A}_t^{(i)} - \hat{A}_k^{(i)} \preceq \hat{A}^{(i)} - \hat{A}_k^{(i)}$, by definition.
342 Thus by taking averages, we have that $B' \preceq \tilde{B}_k$.

343 We will now argue that with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$,

$$B' = \Pi^\perp B' \Pi^\perp + E, \quad \text{where } \|E\| \leq O(\kappa) \log(1/\delta). \quad (7)$$

344 To see this, let us expand the first term on the RHS using $\Pi^\perp = (I - \Pi)$:

$$\Pi^\perp B' \Pi^\perp = B' - B' \Pi - \Pi B' + \Pi B' \Pi.$$

345 Now, since $B' \preceq \tilde{B}_k$, we have $\|B' \Pi\| \leq \|\tilde{B}_k \Pi\| \leq O(\kappa) \log(1/\delta)$, by the earlier claim. Thus the
346 last three terms are all bounded in norm by $O(\kappa) \log(1/\delta)$, and hence we have the desired bound on
347 $\|E\|$.

348 Putting (6) and (7) together, we have that with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\tilde{A}_t = \tilde{A}_k + B' = A_k + \Pi^\perp B' \Pi^\perp + E', \quad \text{where } \|E'\| \leq O(\kappa) \log(1/\delta).$$

349 Now, because A_k and $\Pi^\perp B' \Pi^\perp$ are in orthogonal spaces, the eigenvalues of $A_k + \Pi^\perp B' \Pi^\perp$ are
350 precisely the union of the eigenvalues of the two matrices. The eigenvalues of A_k are simply

351 $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$. We claim that $\lambda_{\max}(B') \leq \lambda_{k+1} + O(\kappa \log(1/\delta))$, with probability at least $(1 - \delta)$.
 352 This can be shown as follows. First, since $B' \preceq \tilde{B}_k$, it suffices to bound $\lambda_{\max}(\tilde{B}_k)$. Since $\tilde{B}_k =$
 353 $(A - A_k) + (A_k - \tilde{A}_k) - (A - \tilde{A})$, using (5) and (6), it follows that with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\lambda_{\max}(\tilde{B}_k) \leq \lambda_{\max}(A - A_k) + O(\kappa \log(1/\delta)) = \lambda_{k+1} + O(\kappa \log(1/\delta)).$$

354 Thus, due to the gap between λ_k and λ_{k+1} , the top k eigenvalues of $A_k + \Pi^\perp B' \Pi^\perp$ are exactly
 355 $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$. Thus by Weyl's inequality, the eigenvalues of \tilde{A}_t satisfy (3). This completes the
 356 proof. \square