

Supplementary Material for “Fitting Low-Rank Tensors in Constant Time”

A Proof of Lemma 3.1

Before proving Lemma 3.1, we need to establish several definitions. We say that a partition \mathcal{Q} is a *refinement* of another partition $\mathcal{P} = (V_1, \dots, V_p)$ if \mathcal{Q} is obtained by splitting each set V_i into one or more parts. The partition $\mathcal{P} = (V_1, \dots, V_p)$ of the interval $[0, 1]$ is called an *equipartition* if $\lambda(V_i) = 1/p$ for every $i \in [p]$. For a dikernel $\mathcal{W} : [0, 1]^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and an equipartition $\mathcal{P} = (V_1, \dots, V_p)$ of $[0, 1]$, we define $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} : [0, 1]^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the dikernel obtained by averaging each $V_{i_1} \times \dots \times V_{i_K}$ for $i_1, \dots, i_K \in [p]$. More formally, we define

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{k \in [K]} \lambda(V_{i_k})} \int_{V_{i_1} \times \dots \times V_{i_K}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}' = p^K \int_{V_{i_1} \times \dots \times V_{i_K}} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}',$$

where i_k is the unique index such that $x_k \in V_{i_k}$ for each $k \in [K]$.

The following lemma states that any dikernel $\mathcal{W} : [0, 1]^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be well approximated by $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$ for an equipartition \mathcal{P} into a small number of parts.

Lemma A.1 (Weak regularity lemma for dikernels [9]). *Let \mathcal{P} be an equipartition of $[0, 1]$ into p sets. Then, for any dikernel $\mathcal{W} : [0, 1]^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a refinement \mathcal{Q} of \mathcal{P} with $|\mathcal{Q}| \leq p2^{O(1/\epsilon^{2K-2})}$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{W} - \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{Q}}\|_{\square} \leq \epsilon \|\mathcal{W}\|_F.$$

Corollary A.2. *Let $\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^T : [0, 1]^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be dikernels. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an equipartition \mathcal{P} into $|\mathcal{P}| \leq 2^{O(T/\epsilon^{2K-2})}$ parts, such that for every $t \in [T]$,*

$$\|\mathcal{W}^t - \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t\|_{\square} \leq \epsilon \|\mathcal{W}^t\|_F.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{P}^0 be a trivial partition, that is, a partition consisting of a single part $[0, 1]$. Then, for each $t \in [T]$, we iteratively apply Lemma A.1 with \mathcal{P}^{t-1} , \mathcal{W}^t , and ϵ , and we obtain the partition \mathcal{P}^t into at most $|\mathcal{P}^{t-1}|2^{O(1/\epsilon^{2K-2})}$ parts such that $\|\mathcal{W}^t - \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}^t}^t\|_{\square} \leq \epsilon \|\mathcal{W}^t\|_2$. Because \mathcal{P}^t is a refinement of \mathcal{P}^{t-1} , we have $\|\mathcal{W}^i - \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}^t}^i\|_{\square} \leq \|\mathcal{W}^i - \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}^{t-1}}^i\|_{\square}$ for every $i \in [t-1]$. Then, \mathcal{P}^T satisfies the desired property with $|\mathcal{P}^T| \leq (2^{O(1/\epsilon^{2K-2})})^T = 2^{O(T/\epsilon^{2K-2})}$. \square

Although the following lemma was originally proved for order-2 dikernels, the proof can easily be extended to general orders:

Lemma A.3 ((4.15) of [5]). *Let $\mathcal{W} : [0, 1]^K \rightarrow [-L, L]$ be a dikernel, and let S_1, \dots, S_K be sequences of s elements uniformly and independently sampled from $[0, 1]$. Then, we have*

$$-\frac{L}{s^{\Omega_K(1)}} \leq \mathbf{E}_{S_1, \dots, S_K} \|\mathcal{W}|_{S_1, \dots, S_K}\|_{\square} - \|\mathcal{W}\|_{\square} < \frac{L}{s^{\Omega_K(1)}},$$

where $\Omega_K(1)$ hides a factor depending on K .

Finally, we need the following concentration inequality.

Lemma A.4 (Azuma’s inequality). *Let (Ω, A, P) be a probability space, k be a positive integer, and $C > 0$. Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$, where z_1, \dots, z_k are independent random variables, and z_i takes values in some measure space (Ω_i, A_i) . Let $f : \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Suppose that $|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})| \leq C$ whenever \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} only differ in one coordinate. Then*

$$\Pr \left[|f(\mathbf{z}) - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{z}}[f(\mathbf{z})]| > \lambda C \right] < 2e^{-\lambda^2/2k}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We first bound the expectations and then prove their concentrations. We apply Corollary A.2 to $\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^T$ and ϵ , and let $\mathcal{P} = (V_1, \dots, V_p)$ be the obtained partition with $p \leq 2^{T/\epsilon^{2K-2}}$ parts such that

$$\|\mathcal{W}^t - \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t\|_{\square} \leq \epsilon L.$$

for every $t \in [T]$. According to Lemma A.3, for every $t \in [T]$, we have

$$\mathbf{E}_{S_1, \dots, S_K} \|\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K} - \mathcal{W}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K}\|_{\square} = \mathbf{E}_{S_1, \dots, S_K} \|(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t - \mathcal{W}^t)|_{S_1, \dots, S_K}\|_{\square} \leq \epsilon L + \frac{L}{s^{\Omega_K(1)}}.$$

Then, for any measure-preserving bijection $\pi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $t \in [T]$, we have

$$\mathbf{E}_{S_1, \dots, S_K} \|\mathcal{W}^t - \pi(\mathcal{W}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K})\|_{\square} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|\mathcal{W}^t - \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t\|_{\square} + \mathbf{E}_{S_1, \dots, S_K} \|\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t - \pi(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K})\|_{\square} + \mathbf{E}_{S_1, \dots, S_K} \|\pi(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K}) - \pi(\mathcal{W}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K})\|_{\square} \\ &\leq 2\epsilon L + \frac{L}{s^{\Omega_K(1)}} + \mathbf{E}_{S_1, \dots, S_K} \|\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t - \pi(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K})\|_{\square}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Thus, we are left with the problem of sampling from \mathcal{P} . For each $k \in [K]$, let Z_i^k be the number of points in S_k that fall into the set V_i . It is easy to compute the following:

$$\mathbf{E}[Z_i^k] = \frac{s}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Var}[Z_i^k] = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)s < \frac{s}{p}$$

for every $k \in [K]$. For each $k \in [K]$, the partition \mathcal{P}^k of $[0, 1]$ into the sets V_1^k, \dots, V_p^k is constructed such that $\lambda(V_i^k) = Z_i^k/s$ and $\lambda(V_i \cap V_i^k) = \min(1/p, Z_i^k/s)$. For each $t \in [T]$, we construct the dikernel $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^t : [0, 1]^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the value of $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^t$ on $V_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times V_{i_K}^K$ is the same as the value of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t$ on $V_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times V_{i_K}^K$. Then, $\overline{\mathcal{W}}^t$ agrees with $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t$ on the set $Q = \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_K \in [p]} (V_{i_1}^1 \cap V_{i_1}^1) \times \dots \times (V_{i_K}^K \cap V_{i_K}^K)$. Then, there exists a bijection π such that $\pi(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K}) = \overline{\mathcal{W}}^t$ for each $t \in [T]$. Then, for every $t \in [T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t - \pi(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K})\|_{\square} &= \|\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t - \overline{\mathcal{W}}^t\|_{\square} \leq \int |\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t(\mathbf{x}) - \overline{\mathcal{W}}^t(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} \leq 2L(1 - \lambda(Q)) \\ &= 2L\left(1 - \prod_{k \in [K]} \sum_{i \in [p]} \min\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{Z_i^k}{s}\right)\right) = 2L\left(1 - \prod_{k \in [K]} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in [p]} \left|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{Z_i^k}{s}\right|\right)\right) \\ &\leq 2L\left(1 - \prod_{k \in [K]} \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{p}}{2} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in [p]} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{Z_i^k}{s}\right)^2}\right)\right) \\ &\leq L\sqrt{p} \sum_{k \in [K]} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in [p]} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{Z_i^k}{s}\right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \|\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t - \pi(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K})\|_{\square} &= L\sqrt{p} \sum_{k \in [K]} \mathbf{E} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in [p]} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{Z_i^k}{s}\right)^2} \leq L\sqrt{p} \sum_{k \in [K]} \sqrt{\mathbf{E} \sum_{i \in [p]} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{Z_i^k}{s}\right)^2} \\ &\leq L\sqrt{p} \sum_{k \in [K]} \sqrt{\frac{1}{s^2} \sum_{i \in [p]} \mathbf{Var} Z_i^k} \leq L\sqrt{p} \sum_{k \in [K]} \sqrt{\frac{1}{ps}} = KL\sqrt{\frac{p}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserted this into (5), we obtain

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mathcal{W}^t - \pi(\mathcal{W}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K})\|_{\square} \leq 2\epsilon L + \frac{L}{s^{\Omega_K(1)}} + KL\sqrt{\frac{p}{s}} \leq 2\epsilon L + \frac{L}{s^{\Omega_K(1)}} + \frac{KL}{\sqrt{s}} 2^{O(T/\epsilon^{2K-2})}.$$

Choosing $\epsilon = O(T/(\log_2 s^{\Omega_K(1)}))^{1/(2K-2)} = O_K(T/\log_2 s)^{1/(2K-2)}$, we obtain the upper bound

$$\mathbf{E} \|\mathcal{W}^t - \pi(\mathcal{W}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K})\|_{\square} \leq 2L \cdot O_K\left(\frac{T}{\log_2 s}\right)^{1/(2K-2)} + \frac{L}{s^{\Omega_K(1)}} + \frac{KL}{s^{\Omega_K(1)}} = L \cdot O_K\left(\frac{T}{\log_2 s}\right)^{1/(2K-2)}.$$

Observing that $\|\mathcal{W}^t - \pi(\mathcal{W}^t|_{S_1, \dots, S_K})\|_{\square}$ changes by at most $O(L/s)$ if an element in one of S_1, \dots, S_K changes, we apply Azuma's inequality with $\lambda = s \cdot \Omega_K(T/\log_2 s)^{1/(2K-2)}$ and the union bound to complete the proof. \square

B Proof of Lemma 3.2

We say that a vector-valued function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^R$ is *orthonormal* if $\langle f_r, f_r \rangle = 1$ for every $r \in [R]$ and $\langle f_r, f_{r'} \rangle = 0$ if $r \neq r'$. First, we calculate the partial derivatives of the objective function:

Lemma B.1. *Let $\mathcal{X} \in [0, 1]^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a dikernel, $G \in \mathbb{R}^{R_1 \times \dots \times R_K}$ be a tensor, and $\{f^{(k)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{R_k}\}_{k \in [K]}$ be a set of orthonormal vector-valued functions. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial f_{r_0}^{(k_0)}(x_0)} \left\| \mathcal{X} - [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K : r_{k_0} = r_0} G_{r_1 \dots r_K} \int_{[0, 1]^K : x_{k_0} = x_0} \mathcal{X}(x) \prod_{k \in [K] \setminus \{k_0\}} f_{r_k}^{(k)}(x_k) dx \\ & \quad - 2 \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} G_{r_1 \dots r_K} G_{r_1 \dots r_{k_0-1} r_0 r_{k_0+1} \dots r_K} f_{r_{k_0}}^{(k_0)}(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial f_{r_0}^{(k_0)}(x_0)} \left\| \mathcal{X} - [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial f_{r_0}^{(k_0)}(x_0)} \int_{[0, 1]^K} \left(\mathcal{X}(x) - \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} G_{r_1 \dots r_K} \prod_{k \in [K]} f_{r_k}^{(k)}(x_k) \right)^2 dx \\ &= 2 \int_{[0, 1]^K : x_{k_0} = x_0} \left(\mathcal{X}(x) - \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} G_{r_1 \dots r_K} \prod_{k \in [K]} f_{r_k}^{(k)}(x_k) \right) \sum_{r'_1, \dots, r'_K : r'_{k_0} = r_0} G_{r'_1 \dots r'_K} \prod_{k \in [K] \setminus \{k_0\}} f_{r'_k}^{(k)}(x_k) dx \\ &= 2 \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K : r_{k_0} = r_0} G_{r_1 \dots r_K} \int_{[0, 1]^K : x_{k_0} = x_0} \mathcal{X}(x) \prod_{k \in [K] \setminus \{k_0\}} f_{r_k}^{(k)}(x_k) dx \\ & \quad - 2 \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} G_{r_1 \dots r_K} \sum_{r'_1, \dots, r'_K : r'_{k_0} = r_0} G_{r'_1 \dots r'_K} f_{r_{k_0}}^{(k_0)}(x_0) \int_{[0, 1]^K : x_{k_0} = x_0} \prod_{k \in [K] \setminus \{k_0\}} f_{r_k}^{(k)}(x_k) \prod_{k \in [K] \setminus \{k_0\}} f_{r'_k}^{(k)}(x_k) dx \\ &= 2 \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K : r_{k_0} = r_0} G_{r_1 \dots r_K} \int_{[0, 1]^K : x_{k_0} = x_0} \mathcal{X}(x) \prod_{k \in [K] \setminus \{k_0\}} f_{r_k}^{(k)}(x_k) dx \\ & \quad - 2 \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} G_{r_1 \dots r_K} \sum_{r'_1, \dots, r'_K : r'_{k_0} = r_0} G_{r'_1 \dots r'_K} f_{r_{k_0}}^{(k_0)}(x_0) \prod_{k \in [K] \setminus \{k_0\}} \int_{[0, 1]} f_{r_k}^{(k)}(x_k) f_{r'_k}^{(k)}(x_k) dx_k \\ &= 2 \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K : r_{k_0} = r_0} G_{r_1 \dots r_K} \int_{[0, 1]^K : x_{k_0} = x_0} \mathcal{X}(x) \prod_{k \in [K] \setminus \{k_0\}} f_{r_k}^{(k)}(x_k) dx \\ & \quad - 2 \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} G_{r_1 \dots r_K} G_{r_1 \dots r_{k_0-1} r_0 r_{k_0+1} \dots r_K} f_{r_{k_0}}^{(k_0)}(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.2. First, we show that (LHS) \leq (RHS). Consider a sequence of solutions for the continuous problem (2) whose objective values attains the infimum. For Tucker decompositions, it is well known that there exists a minimizer for which the factor matrices $U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(K)}$ are orthonormal. By a similar reasoning, we can show that the vector-valued functions $f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}$ in each solution of the sequence are orthonormal. As the objective function is coercive with respect

to the tensor G , we can take a subsequence for which G converges. Let G^* be the limit. Now, for any $\delta > 0$, we can create a matrix \tilde{G} by perturbing G^* so that (i) by fixing G to \tilde{G} in the continuous problem, the infimum increases only by δ , and (ii) a matrix constructed from \tilde{G} is invertible (the detail is given later) and has a condition number at least $\delta' = \delta'(\delta)$.

Now, consider a sequence of solutions for the continuous problem (2) with G fixed to \tilde{G} whose objective values attains the infimum. We can show that the partial derivatives converges to zeros almost everywhere. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a solution $(\tilde{G}, f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)})$ in the sequence such that the partial derivatives are at most ϵ almost everywhere.

Then by Lemma B.1, for any $k_0 \in [K]$, $r_0 \in [R_k]$, and almost all $x \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K} \tilde{G}_{r_1 \dots r_K} \tilde{G}_{r_1 \dots r_{k_0-1} r_0 r_{k_0+1} \dots r_K} f_{r_{k_0}}^{(k_0)}(x_0) \\ &= \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_K : r_{k_0} = r_0} \tilde{G}_{r_1 \dots r_K} \int_{[0,1]^K : x_{k_0} = x_0} \mathcal{X}(x) \prod_{k \in [K] \setminus \{k_0\}} f_{r_k}^{(k)}(x_k) dx \pm \epsilon(k_0, r_0, x), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\epsilon(k_0, r_0, x) = O(\epsilon)$. Now, we consider a system of linear equations consisting of (7) for $r_0 = 1, \dots, r_{k_0}$. We assume that the matrix involved in this system is invertible and has a condition number at least δ' . Then, for any $k, r \in [R_k]$ and almost every pair $x, x' \in [0, 1]$ with $i_{N_k}(x) = i_{N_k}(x')$, we have $f_{r_0}^{(k_0)}(x) = f_{r_0}^{(k_0)}(x') \pm O(\epsilon/\delta')$. For each $k \in [K]$, we can define a matrix $U^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k \times R_k}$ as $U_{ir}^{(k)} = f_r^{(k)}(x)$, where $x \in [0, 1]$ is an arbitrary value with $i_{N_k}(x) = i$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N} \left\| X - [\tilde{G}; U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} \left(X_{i_1 \dots i_K} - [\tilde{G}; U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(K)}]_{i_1 \dots i_K} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} \int_{I_{i_1}^{N_1} \times \dots \times I_{i_K}^{N_K}} \left(\mathcal{X}(x) - [\tilde{G}; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}](x) \pm O(\epsilon/\delta') \right)^2 dx \\ &= \left\| \mathcal{X} - [\tilde{G}; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 \pm O(\epsilon^2 N / (\delta')^2) \end{aligned}$$

for $N = \prod_{k \in [K]} N_k$. As the choice of ϵ and δ are arbitrary, we obtain (LHS) \leq (RHS).

Second, we show that (RHS) \leq (LHS). Let $U^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k \times R_k}$ ($k \in [K]$) be matrices. We define a vector-valued function $f^{(k)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{R_k}$ as $f_r^{(k)}(x) = U_{i_{N_k}(x)r}^{(k)}$ for each $k \in [K]$ and $r \in [R_k]$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{X} - [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 = \int_{[0,1]^K} \left(\mathcal{X}(x) - [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}](x) \right)^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} \int_{\prod_{k \in [K]} I_{i_k}^{N_k}} \left(\mathcal{X}(x) - [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}](x) \right)^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_K} \left(X_{i_1 \dots i_K} - [G; U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(K)}]_{i_1 \dots i_K} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left\| X - [G; U^{(1)}, \dots, U^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

C Proof of Lemma 3.3

The cut norm is useful to bound the absolute value of the inner product between a tensor and a tensor product:

Lemma C.1. *Let $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{W} : [0, 1]^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a dikernel with $\|\mathcal{W}\|_{\square} \leq \epsilon$. Then, for any functions $f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [-L, L]$, we have $|\langle \mathcal{W}, \bigotimes_{k \in [K]} f^{(k)} \rangle| \leq \epsilon L^K$.*

Proof. For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and the function $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $L_\tau(h) := \{x \in [0, 1] \mid h(x) = \tau\}$ be the level set of h at τ . For $f^{(i)} = f^{(i)}/L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathcal{W}, \bigotimes_{k \in [K]} f^{(k)} \rangle| &= L^K |\langle \mathcal{W}, \bigotimes_{k \in [K]} f^{(k)} \rangle| = L^K \left| \int_{[-1,1]^K} \prod_{k \in [K]} \tau_k \int_{\prod_{k \in [K]} L_{\tau_k}(f^{(k)})} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} d\boldsymbol{\tau} \right| \\ &\leq L^K \int_{[-1,1]^K} \prod_{k \in [K]} |\tau_k| \left| \int_{\prod_{k \in [K]} L_{\tau_k}(f^{(k)})} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} d\boldsymbol{\tau} \right| \leq \epsilon L^K \int_{[-1,1]^K} \prod_{k \in [K]} |\tau_k| d\boldsymbol{\tau} = \epsilon L^K. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following:

Lemma C.2. Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} : [0, 1]^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be kernels with $\|\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Y}\|_{\square} \leq \epsilon$ and $\|\mathcal{X}^2 - \mathcal{Y}^2\|_{\square} \leq \epsilon$, where $\mathcal{X}^2(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x})^2$ and $\mathcal{Y}^2(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{x})^2$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^K$. Then, for any tensor $G \in \mathbb{R}^{R_1 \times \dots \times R_K}$ and a set of vector-valued functions $F = \{f^{(k)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{R_k}\}_{k \in [K]}$, we have

$$\left\| \mathcal{X} - [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 = \left\| \mathcal{Y} - [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 \pm \epsilon \left(1 + 2R \|G\|_{\max} \|F\|_{\max}^K \right),$$

where $R = \prod_{k \in [K]} R_k$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left\| \mathcal{X} - [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 - \left\| \mathcal{Y} - [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{[0,1]^K} \left(\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x}) - [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}](\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\mathbf{x} - \int_{[0,1]^K} \left(\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{x}) - [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}](\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\mathbf{x} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{[0,1]^K} \left(\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x})^2 - \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{x})^2 \right) d\mathbf{x} - 2 \int_{[0,1]^K} (\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{x})) [G; f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(K)}](\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \right| \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{X}^2 - \mathcal{Y}^2\|_{\square} + 2 \sum_{r_1 \in [R_1], \dots, r_K \in [R_K]} |G_{r_1 \dots r_K}| \cdot \left| \langle \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Y}, \bigotimes_{k \in [K]} f_{r_k}^{(k)} \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \epsilon + 2\epsilon R \|G\|_{\max} \|F\|_{\max}^K \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma C.1. □

Proof of Lemma 3.3. By Lemma C.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{Y} - [G_{\mathcal{Y}}; f_{\mathcal{Y}}^{(1)}, \dots, f_{\mathcal{Y}}^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 &\leq \left\| \mathcal{Y} - [G_{\mathcal{X}}; f_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}, \dots, f_{\mathcal{X}}^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 + \epsilon \\ &\leq \left\| \mathcal{X} - [G_{\mathcal{X}}; f_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}, \dots, f_{\mathcal{X}}^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 + \left(2\epsilon + 2\epsilon R \|G_{\mathcal{X}}\|_{\max} \|F_{\mathcal{X}}\|_{\max}^K \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{X} - [G_{\mathcal{X}}; f_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}, \dots, f_{\mathcal{X}}^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 &\leq \left\| \mathcal{X} - [G_{\mathcal{Y}}; f_{\mathcal{Y}}^{(1)}, \dots, f_{\mathcal{Y}}^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 + \epsilon \\ &\leq \left\| \mathcal{Y} - [G_{\mathcal{Y}}; f_{\mathcal{Y}}^{(1)}, \dots, f_{\mathcal{Y}}^{(K)}] \right\|_F^2 + \left(2\epsilon + 2\epsilon R \|G_{\mathcal{Y}}\|_{\max} \|F_{\mathcal{Y}}\|_{\max}^K \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the claim follows. □

D Description of real datasets

movie_gray: One of the movies contained in a human activity video dataset [14]. It consists of 107 frames at 120×160 resolution. The original movie had RGB color information, but we reduce it to monochrome.

EEM: A collection of samples measured using fluorescence spectroscopy forming Excitation-Emission Matrices (EEMs)⁷ [2].

⁷<http://www.models.life.ku.dk/joda/prototype>

fluorescence: A collection of EEM measurements of human blood plasma samples⁸ [11]. We used the variable `X_UD` in the dataset.

bonnie: HPLC-PDA profiles of 24 commercial preparations of St. John’s wort, originating from several continents⁹ [1].

fluor: A fluorescence dataset¹⁰.

wine: 3-way data contained in the Wine GC-MS FT-IR dataset¹¹.

BCI_Berlin: Generated from electroencephalogram (EEG) data collected in Berlin¹² [4]. It records EEG signals with 59 channels for multiple trials and subjects. A signal is recorded each millisecond. From matlab files `BCICIV_calib_ds1a_1000Hz.mat`, `BCICIV_calib_ds1b_1000Hz.mat`, ..., `BCICIV_calib_ds1g_1000Hz.mat`, we extracted 4001 frames from each trial start-point and then concatenated them.

visor: Generated from video surveillance data¹³ [19]. We extracted each frame of the video and converted it to a monochrome image. There were 16,818 frames at 288×384 resolution.

⁸<http://www.models.life.ku.dk/anders-cancer>

⁹<http://www.models.life.ku.dk/Bonnie>

¹⁰<http://www.models.life.ku.dk/Fluorescence>

¹¹http://www.models.life.ku.dk/Wine_GCMS_FTIR

¹²http://www.bbc.de/competition/iv/desc_1.html

¹³http://www.openvisor.org/video_details.asp?idvideo=285