Sparse Support Recovery with Non-smooth Loss Functions: Supplementary Material

Kévin Degraux ISPGroup/ICTEAM, FNRS Université catholique de Louvain Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium 1348 kevin.degraux@uclouvain.be

Jalal M. Fadili Normandie Univ, ENSICAEN, CNRS, GREYC, Caen, France 14050 Jalal.Fadili@ensicaen.fr Gabriel Peyré CNRS, DMA École Normale Supérieure Paris, France 75775 gabriel.peyre@ens.fr

Laurent Jacques ISPGroup/ICTEAM, FNRS Université catholique de Louvain Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium 1348 laurent.jacques@uclouvain.be

1 Proof of Theorem 1 for $\alpha = 1$

As the proof presented in the paper for $\alpha = \infty$, our proof consists in construction a vector supported on J, obeying the implicit relationship (6) which becomes in this case

$$x_{\tau,J} = x_{0,J} + \bar{\Phi}^{-1} \Theta w - \tau v_{\infty,J},$$
(12)

and which is indeed a solution to $(\mathcal{P}^{\tau}_{\infty}(\Phi x_0 + w))$ for an appropriate regime of the parameters $(\tau, \|w\|_{\alpha})$. Note that we assume that (INJ₁) holds and in particular, $\tilde{\Phi}$ is invertible. If we define $Z_p \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname{sat}(p)$, note that

$$\partial \|p\|_{\infty} = \left\{ u \mid u_{Z_p^c} = 0, \langle u_{Z_p}, \operatorname{sign}(p_{Z_p}) \rangle = 1, \operatorname{sign}(u_{Z_p}) = \operatorname{sign}(p_{Z_p}) \right\},\$$

so that for an optimal primal-dual pair (x, p), the condition (8) reads,

$$y_{Z_p^c} = \Phi_{Z_p^c, \cdot} x , \quad \langle \Phi_{Z_p, \cdot}^* \operatorname{sign}(p_{Z_p}), x \rangle = \langle \operatorname{sign}(p_{Z_p}), y_{Z_p} \rangle - \tau,$$
(13)

and

$$\operatorname{sign}(y_{Z_p} - \Phi_{Z_p} x) = \operatorname{sign}(p_{Z_p}).$$
(14)

To simplify the notations, we use

$$\Theta_p \stackrel{\text{\tiny def.}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_{Z_p^c, \cdot} \\ \mathrm{sign}(p_{Z_p}^*) \mathrm{Id}_{Z_p, \cdot} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\Phi}_p \stackrel{\text{\tiny def.}}{=} \Theta_p \Phi_{\cdot, J}.$$

One should then look for a candidate primal-dual pair (\hat{x}, \hat{p}) such that $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{x}) = J$ and satisfying

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\hat{p}}\hat{x}_J = \widetilde{y}_{\hat{p}} = \Theta_{\hat{p}}y - \tau\delta_{|J|} = \widetilde{\Phi}_{\hat{p}}x_{0,J} + \Theta_{\hat{p}}w - \tau\delta_{|J|}.$$
(15)

We now need to show that the first order conditions (7) and (8) hold for some $p = \hat{p}$ solution of the "perturbed" dual problem $(\mathcal{D}_{\infty}^{\tau}(\Phi x_0 + w))$ with $x = \hat{x}$. Actually, we will show that under the conditions of the theorem, this holds for $\hat{p} = p_{\infty}$, *i.e.*, p_{∞} is solution of $(\mathcal{D}_{\infty}^{\tau}(\Phi x_0 + w))$ so that

$$\hat{x}_J = x_{0,J} + \tilde{\Phi}^{-1} \Theta w - \tau \tilde{\Phi}^{-1} \delta_{|J|} = x_{0,J} + \tilde{\Phi}^{-1} \Theta w - \tau v_{\infty,J}.$$

30th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS 2016), Barcelona, Spain.

We remind that as defined in Section 1.4, $\Theta = \Theta_{p_{\infty}}$ and $\tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\Phi}_{p_{\infty}}$ and that $v_{\infty,J} = \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}\delta_{|J|}$. Let us start by proving the equality part of (7), $\Phi_{\cdot,J}^* p_{\infty} = \operatorname{sign}(\hat{x}_J)$. Noting $\operatorname{Id}_{I,J}$ the restriction from J to I, we have

$$\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{0,I} + \operatorname{Id}_{I,J}\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}\Theta w - \tau v_{\infty,I}\right) = \operatorname{sign}\left(x_{0,I}\right)$$

as soon as

$$\left| \left(\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1} \Theta w \right)_i - \tau v_{\infty,i} \right| < |x_{0,I}| \quad \forall i \in I.$$

It is sufficient to require

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathrm{Id}_{I,J}\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}\Theta w - \tau v_{\infty,I}\|_{\infty} &\leq \underline{x} \\ \|\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}\Theta\|_{\infty,\infty}\|w\|_{\infty} + \tau \|v_{\infty,I}\|_{\infty} &\leq \underline{x}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\underline{x} = \min_{i \in I} |x_{0,I}|$. Injecting the fact that $||w||_{\infty} < c_1 \tau$ (the value of c_1 will be derived later), we get the condition

$$\tau \left(bc_1 + \nu \right) \leqslant \underline{x},$$

with $b = \|\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}\Theta\|_{\infty,\infty}$ and $\nu = \|v_{\infty}\|_{\infty} \leqslant b$. Rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$\tau \leqslant \frac{\underline{x}}{bc_1 + \nu} = c_2 \underline{x},$$

which guarantees $\operatorname{sign}(\hat{x}_I) = \operatorname{sign}(x_{0,I})$. Outside *I*, defining $\operatorname{Id}_{\tilde{J},J}$ as the restriction from *J* to \tilde{J} , we must have

$$\Phi_{\cdot,\tilde{J}}^* p_{\infty} = \operatorname{sign} \left(\operatorname{Id}_{\tilde{J},J} \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1} \Theta w - \tau v_{\infty,\tilde{J}} \right).$$

From Lemma 1, we know that $-\operatorname{sign}(v_{\infty,\tilde{J}}) = \Phi^*_{\tilde{J}}p_{\infty}$, so that the condition is satisfied as soon as

$$\left| \left(\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1} \Theta w \right)_j \right| < \tau |v_{\infty,j}| \quad \forall j \in \widetilde{J}.$$

Noting $\underline{v} = \min_{j \in \tilde{J}} |v_{\infty,j}|$, we get the sufficient condition for (7),

$$\|\widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}\Theta w\|_{\infty} < \tau \underline{v},$$

$$\|w\|_{\infty} < \tau \frac{\underline{v}}{b}.$$
 (c₁a)

We can now verify (13) and (14). From (15) we see that (13) is satisfied *i.e.*,

$$y_{Z^c} = \Phi_{Z^c,J} \hat{x}_J$$
 and $\operatorname{sign}(p_{\infty,Z})^* \Phi_{Z,J} \hat{x}_J = \operatorname{sign}(p_{\infty,Z})^* y_Z - \tau.$

On Z, we have

$$y_Z - \Phi_{Z,\cdot} \hat{x} = w_Z - \Phi_{Z,J} \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1} \Theta w + \tau \Phi_{Z,J} v_{\infty,J}.$$

We know from Lemma 1 that

$$\operatorname{sign}(p_{\infty,Z}) = \operatorname{sign}\left(\Phi_{Z,J}v_{\infty,J}\right)$$

so that (14) holds, *i.e.*, $\operatorname{sign}(y - \Phi \hat{x})_Z = \operatorname{sign}(p_{\infty,Z})$ as soon as

$$\begin{split} \|w_Z - \Phi_{Z,J} \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1} \Theta w\|_{\infty} &\leqslant \tau \min_{i \in Z} |\Phi_{i,J} v_{\infty,J}| \\ \| \mathrm{Id}_{Z,\cdot} - \Phi_{Z,J} \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1} \Theta \|_{1,\infty} \| w \|_1 &\leqslant \underline{z} \tau \end{split}$$

with $\underline{z} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \min_{i \in Z} |\Phi_{i,J} v_{\infty,J}|$ and finally, noting $a \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \| \mathrm{Id}_{Z,\cdot} - \Phi_{Z,J} \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1} \Theta \|_{1,\infty}$,

$$\|w\|_1 \leqslant \frac{z}{a}\tau. \tag{c_1b}$$

 $(c_1 a)$ and $(c_1 b)$ together give the value of c_1 . This ensures that \hat{x} is solution to $(\mathcal{P}_1^{\tau}(\Phi x_0 + w))$ and p_{∞} solution to $(\mathcal{D}_{\infty}^{\tau}(\Phi x_0 + w))$, which concludes the proof.