A Appendix

For notational convenience, let

$$Y_t^k(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z}: A_t^k \mathbf{z} = b_t^k \mod 2} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$$

denote a random variable representing the projected marginal likelihood, where the randomness is over the choice of the matrices A_k^k, b_k^k , and

$$\delta_t^{k,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} D_{KL} \left(q_\phi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) || R_{A_t^k, b_t^k}^k [p_\theta(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})] \right).$$

denote the minimum KL-divergence within an approximating family of distributions Q and the true posterior projected using A_t^k, b_t^k .

Before proving Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we first extend an important result from earlier work to our setting.

A.1 Extension of Theorem 2 from [Hsu et al., 2016]

Lemma A.1. For any $\Delta > 0$, let $T \ge \frac{1}{\alpha} (\log(2n/\Delta))$. Let $A_t^k \in \{0,1\}^{k \times n} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{2})$ and $b_t^k \in \{0,1\}^k \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{2})$ for $k \in \{0,1,\ldots,n\}$ and $t \in \{1,\ldots,T\}$. Let \mathcal{D} denote the set of degenerate (deterministic) probability distributions. Then there exists a positive constant α such that with probability at least $(1 - \Delta)$

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})/32 \le \sum_{k=0}^{n} \exp\left(\operatorname{Median}_{t\in[T]} \left(-\delta_{t}^{k,\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) + \log Y_{t}^{k}(\mathbf{x})\right)\right) 2^{k-1} \le 32p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$$
(8)

i.e., it is a 32-approximation to $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ *.*

Proof. By definition,

$$\delta_t^{k,\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{q \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\mathbf{z}: A_t^k \mathbf{z} = b_t^k \mod 2} q_\phi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) \big[\log q_\phi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) - \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \big] + \log Y_t^k(\mathbf{x}).$$

For a degenerate distribution, $q \in D$, the entropy is zero and all its mass is at a single point. Hence,

$$\begin{split} \delta_t^{k,\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) &= -\max_{q\in\mathcal{D}} \sum_{\mathbf{z}:A_t^k \mathbf{z} = b_t^k \mod 2} q_\phi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) \cdot \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) + \log Y_t^k(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= -1 \cdot \max_{\mathbf{z}:A_t^k \mathbf{z} = b_t^k \mod 2} \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) + \log Y_t^k(\mathbf{x}). \end{split}$$

Rearranging terms,

$$-\delta_t^{k,\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) + \log Y_t^k(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{\mathbf{z}:A_t^k \mathbf{z} = b_t^k \mod 2} \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}).$$

Substituting the above expression into Eq. (8), we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \exp\left(Median\left(\max_{\mathbf{z}:A_{1}^{k}\mathbf{z}=b_{1}^{k} \mod 2} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}), \cdots, \max_{\mathbf{z}:A_{T}^{k}\mathbf{z}=b_{T}^{k} \mod 2} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})\right)\right) 2^{k-1}$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n} Median\left(\exp\left(\max_{\mathbf{z}:A_{1}^{k}\mathbf{z}=b_{1}^{k} \mod 2} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})\right), \cdots, \exp\left(\max_{\mathbf{z}:Z:A_{T}^{k}\mathbf{z}=b_{T}^{k} \mod 2} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})\right)\right) 2^{k-1}$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n} Median\left(\max_{\mathbf{z}:A_{1}^{k}\mathbf{z}=b_{1}^{k} \mod 2} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}), \cdots, \max_{\mathbf{z}:A_{T}^{k}\mathbf{z}=b_{T}^{k} \mod 2} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})\right) 2^{k-1}.$$

The result then follows directly from Theorem 1 from [Ermon et al., 2013b].

A.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1: Upper bound based on mean aggregation

From the non-negativity of KL divergence we have that for any $q \in Q$,

$$\begin{split} \log Y_t^k(\mathbf{x}) &\geq \sum_{\mathbf{z}:A_t^k \mathbf{z} = b_t^k \mod 2} q_\phi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) \big[\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) - \log q_\phi(\mathbf{z}) \big] \\ &\geq \max_{q \in Q} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{z}:A_t^k \mathbf{z} = b_t^k \mod 2} q_\phi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) \big[\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) - \log q_\phi(\mathbf{z}) \big] \right) \end{split}$$

Exponentiating both sides,

$$Y_t^k(\mathbf{x}) \ge \exp\left(\max_{q \in Q} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{z}: A_t^k \mathbf{z} = b_t^k \mod 2} q_\phi(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) \left[\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) - \log q_\phi(\mathbf{z})\right]\right)\right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_t^k(\mathbf{x}). \tag{9}$$

Taking an expectation on both sides w.r.t A_t^k, b_t^k ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{A_t^k, b_t^k}[Y_t^k(\mathbf{x})] \ge \mathbb{E}_{A_t^k, b_t^k}[\gamma_t^k(\mathbf{x})]$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}_{A_t^k, b_t^k}[\gamma_t^k(\mathbf{x})] \le 2^{-k} p_\theta(\mathbf{x})$$

A.3 Proof of Theorem 3.2: Upper bound based on median aggregation

From Markov's inequality, since $Y_t^k(\mathbf{x})$ is non-negative,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[Y_t^k(\mathbf{x}) \ge c\mathbb{E}[Y_t^k(\mathbf{x})]\right] \le \frac{1}{c}.$$

Using Lemma 3.1,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[Y_t^k(\mathbf{x})2^k \ge cp_\theta(\mathbf{x})\right] \le \frac{1}{c}$$

Since $Y_t^k(\mathbf{x}) \geq \gamma_t^k(\mathbf{x})$ from Eq. (9), setting c = 4 and $k = k^*$ we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\gamma_t^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x})2^{k^{\star}} \ge 4p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})\right] \le \frac{1}{4}.$$
(10)

From Chernoff's inequality, if for any non-negative $\epsilon \leq 0.5$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\gamma_t^{k^*}(\mathbf{x})2^{k^*} \ge 4p_\theta(\mathbf{x})\right] \le \left(\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon\right)$$
(11)

then,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[4p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \leq Median\left(\gamma_{1}^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, \gamma_{T}^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x})\right)2^{k^{\star}}\right] \leq \exp(-2\epsilon^{2}T)$$
(12)

From Eq. (10) and Eq. (11), $\epsilon \le 0.25$. Hence, taking the complement of Eq. (12) and given a positive constant $\alpha \le 0.125$ such that for any $\Delta > 0$, if $T \ge \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(2n/\Delta) \ge \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(1/\Delta)$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[4p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \geq Median\left(\gamma_{1}^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, \gamma_{T}^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x})\right) 2^{k^{\star}}\right] \geq 1 - \Delta.$$

A.4 Proof of Theorem 3.2: Lower bound based on median aggregation

Since the conditions of Lemma A.1 are satisfied, we know that Eq. (8) holds with probability at least $1 - \delta$. Also, since the terms in the sum are non-negative we have that the maximum element is at least 1/(n+1) of the sum. Hence,

$$\max_{k} \exp\left(Median\left(-\delta_{1}^{k,\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) + \log Y_{1}^{k}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, -\delta_{T}^{k,\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) + \log Y_{T}^{k}(\mathbf{x})\right)\right) 2^{k-1} \geq \frac{1}{32} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{1}{n+1}.$$
(13)

Therefore, there exists k^* (corresponding to the arg max in Eq. (13)) such that

$$Median\left(-\delta_1^{k^{\star},\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) + \log Y_1^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, -\delta_T^{k^{\star},\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) + \log Y_T^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x})\right) + (k^{\star} - 1)\log 2 \ge -\log 32 + \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \log(n+1).$$

Since $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, we also have
$$\delta_T^{k^{\star},\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{x}) < \delta_T^{k^{\star},\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\delta_t^{k^\star,\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{x}) \le \delta_t^{k^\star,\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})).$$

Thus,

 $Median\left(-\delta_1^{k^{\star},\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{x}) + \log Y_1^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, -\delta_T^{k^{\star},\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{x}) + \log Y_T^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x})\right) + (k^{\star} - 1)\log 2 \ge -\log 32 + \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) - \log(n+1).$ From Eq. (5), note that

$$\log \gamma_t^k(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{\mathbf{z}: A_t^k \mathbf{z} = b_t^k \mod 2} q_\phi(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}) \big(\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) - \log q_\phi(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}) \big)$$
$$= \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{\mathbf{z}: A_t^k \mathbf{z} = b_t^k \mod 2} q_\phi(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}) \big(\log p_\theta(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}) - \log q_\phi(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}) \big) + \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x})$$
$$= -\delta_t^{k, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{x}) + \log Y_t^k(\mathbf{x}).$$

Plugging in we get,

 $Median\left(\log \gamma_1^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, \log \gamma_T^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x})\right) + (k^{\star} - 1)\log 2 \ge -\log 32 - \log(n+1) + \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$

and also

$$Median\left(\log \gamma_1^{k^*}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, \log \gamma_T^{k^*}(\mathbf{x})\right) + k^* \log 2 \ge -\log 32 - \log(n+1) + \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}).$$
with probability at least $1 - \Delta$.

Median
$$\left(\gamma_1^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, \gamma_T^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x})\right) 2^{k^{\star}} \geq \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})}{32(n+1)}.$$

Combining the lower and upper bounds, we get

$$4p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \ge \mathcal{L}_{Md}^{k^{\star},T}(\mathbf{x}) \ge \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})}{32(n+1)}$$

with probability at least $1 - 2\Delta$ by union bound by choosing a small enough value for α .

A.5 Proof of Theorem 3.1: Lower bound based on mean aggregation

We first prove a useful inequality relating to the mean and median of non-negative reals. **Lemma A.2.** For a set of non-negative reals $F = \{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$,

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f_i \ge \frac{1}{2} Median(F).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume for notational convenience that elements in F are sorted by their indices, i.e., $f_1 \leq f_2 \cdots \leq f_\ell$. By definition of median, we have for all $i \in \{|\ell/2|, |\ell/2| + 1, \dots, \ell\}$ (F).

$$f_i \ge Median(F)$$

Adding all the above inequalities, we get

$$\sum_{i=\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor}^{\ell} f_i \ge \left\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \right\rceil Median(F).$$

Since all f_i are non-negative,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f_i \ge \left\lceil \frac{\ell}{2} \right\rceil Median(F).$$

The median of non-negative reals is also non-negative, and hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f_i \ge \frac{\ell}{2} Median(F)$$

finishing the proof.

Substituting for F in the above lemma with $\{\gamma_t^{k^*}(\mathbf{x})\}_{t=1}^T$, we get

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{I}\gamma_t^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{1}{2}Median\left(\gamma_1^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, \gamma_T^{k^{\star}}(\mathbf{x})\right).$$

Now using the lower bound in Theorem 3.2, with probability at least $1 - 2\Delta$,

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\gamma_t^{k^\star}(\mathbf{x})\cdot 2^{k^\star} \ge \frac{p_\theta(\mathbf{x})}{64(n+1)}.$$